# PeerJ





Insights on *Pinna nobilis* population genetic structure in the Aegean and Ionian Sea

Georgia Sarafidou<sup>1,2</sup>, Dimitris Tsaparis<sup>2</sup>, Yiannis Issaris<sup>1</sup>, Giorgos Chatzigeorgiou<sup>2</sup>, Panos Grigoriou<sup>3</sup>, Eva Chatzinikolaou<sup>2</sup> and Christina Pavloudi<sup>4,5</sup>

- <sup>1</sup>Institute of Oceanography (IO), Hellenic Centre for Marine Research (HCMR), Anavyssos, Greece
- <sup>2</sup> Institute of Marine Biology, Biotechnology and Aquaculture (IMBBC), Hellenic Centre for Marine Research (HCMR), Heraklion, Crete, Greece
- <sup>3</sup> Cretaquarium, Hellenic Centre for Marine Research (HCMR), Heraklion, Crete, Greece
- <sup>4</sup> PSL Research University: EPHE-UPVD-CNRS, UAR CNRS 3278 Centre de Recherche Insulaire et Observatoire de l'Environnement (CRIOBE), Perpignan, France
- <sup>5</sup> Laboratoire d'Excellence "CORAIL", Centre de Recherche Insulaire et Observatoire de l'Environnement (CRIOBE), Moorea, French Polynesia

## ABSTRACT

The fan mussel Pinna nobilis Linnaeus, 1758 is an endemic species of the Mediterranean Sea, protected by international agreements. It is one of the largest bivalves in the world, playing an important role in the benthic communities; yet it has been recently characterized as Critically Endangered by the IUCN, due to mass mortality events. In this context, the assessment of the genetic variation of the remaining P. nobilis populations and the evaluation of connectivity among them are crucial elements for the conservation of the species. For this purpose, samples were collected from six regions of the Eastern Mediterranean Sea; the Islands of Karpathos, Lesvos and Crete; the Chalkidiki and Attica Peninsulas; and the Amvrakikos Gulf. Sampling was performed either by collecting tissue from the individuals or by using a non-invasive method, *i.e.*, by scraping the inside of their shells aiming to collect their mucus and thus avoid stress induction to them. Conventional molecular techniques with the use of the COI and 16S rRNA mitochondrial markers were selected for the depiction of the intrapopulation genetic variability. The analyses included 104 samples from the present study and publicly available sequences of individuals across the whole Mediterranean Sea. The results of this work (a) suggest the use of eDNA as an efficient sampling method for protected bivalves and (b) shed light to the genetic structure of *P. nobilis* population in the Eastern Mediterranean; this latter knowledge might prove to be fundamental for the species conservation and hence the ecosystem resilience. The haplotype analyses reinforced the evidence that there is a certain degree of connectivity among the distinct regions of the Mediterranean; yet there is evidence of population distinction within the basin, namely between the Western and the Eastern basins. The combination of both genetic markers in the same analysis along with the inclusion of a large number of individuals produced more robust results, revealing a group of haplotypes being present only in the Eastern Mediterranean and providing insights for the species' most suitable conservation management.

Submitted 24 January 2023 Accepted 29 October 2023 Published 29 November 2023

Corresponding author Georgia Sarafidou, g.sarafidou@hcmr.gr

Academic editor Eric Ward

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 15

DOI 10.7717/peerj.16491

Copyright 2023 Sarafidou et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

Subjects Conservation Biology, Genetics, Marine Biology, Zoology, Population Biology Keywords *Pinna nobilis*, Population genetics, Mediterranean, COI, 16S rRNA, eDNA, Critically endangered, Haplotype networks, Genetic structure

## INTRODUCTION

During the autumn of 2016 a massive mortality phenomenon was observed on the Western Mediterranean populations of *Pinna nobilis*, the largest endemic bivalve of the Mediterranean Sea (*Darriba, 2017*). The mass mortality events (MME) reached quickly the Eastern Mediterranean Sea (*Katsanevakis et al., 2019*). Although several pathogens have been proposed as the MME agents (*Catanese et al., 2018*; *Carella et al., 2019*; *Carella et al., 2019*), the most likely one is the protozoan *Haplosporidium pinnae*, which is considered to affect the digestive gland of the animal, resulting in stress, starvation and in a general dysfunction and finally death of the organism (*Box, Sureda & Deudero, 2009*; *Grau et al., 2022*). Based on all the above, the species status in the IUCN red list changed to Critically Endangered (CR) (*Kersting et al., 2019*).

The decline of the pen shell's population was known several years before the MME (*Centoducati et al., 2007*) due to threats such as the coastal construction activity, the degradation of its habitats, the anchoring—especially at touristic hotspots, the wave action, the byssus exploitation for production of sea silk and the illegal trawling activity (*Hendriks et al., 2013*; *Basso et al., 2015*). Therefore, a series of regulations were established aiming to protect this species and ensure its survival; national legislation and international conventions have been in force for the past decades, such as the Barcelona Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment and the Coastal Region of the Mediterranean and the Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the Conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (Annex IV). Nevertheless, the effectiveness of those measures was argued, since *P. nobilis* was still subject to illegal fishing for personal or commercial consumption or for decorative purposes (*Katsanevakis et al., 2011*).

Undoubtedly, *P. nobilis* is a beneficial species for the benthic communities for a number of reasons, since it offers various ecosystem services. As a filter feeder, it filters large amounts of water contributing to seawater clarity (*Basso et al., 2015*), a process that benefits the meadows of the cohabitant species *Posidonia oceanica* and/or *Cymodocea nodosa* (*Trigos et al., 2014*). Its large valves provide a hard substrate within a sandy area for many sedentary organisms, so it is fairly considered as an ecosystem engineer (*Rabaoui et al., 2015*). It sometimes also cohabits with the crustaceans Pontonia pinnophylax or *Nepinnotheres pinnotheres* (*Hassine, Zouari & Rabaoui, 2008; Akyol & Ulaş, 2015*), thus increasing even more the complexity and species richness of the community in which it lives. Recently, due to the attention it has attracted, *P. nobilis* has been characterized as a flagship species (*Scarpa et al., 2020*). Without a doubt, this recognition is significant not only for the conservation of the species itself and the ecosystem it is associated with, but also for raising public awareness about marine environmental issues in general (*Polgar & Jaafar, 2018*).

*P. nobilis* has been the focus of numerous molecular studies conducted in various regions of the Mediterranean Sea over the past decades. A study by *Katsares et al. (2008)* revealed low genetic differentiation among the examined populations in Thermaikos Gulf (Greece), possibly attributed to the species' pelagic larval stage and the resulting high gene flow. Similar findings were observed in studies conducted along the Tunisian coasts (*Rabaoui et al., 2011*), which also indicated the absence of a genetic barrier between the Aegean Sea and the Tunisian coasts. A study across a wider area of the Western Mediterranean by *Sanna et al. (2013)* provided additional insights on *P. nobilis* populations; it was the first one to include a considerable number of samples and, actually indicated a distinct genetic structure between the Western Mediterranean (Sardinia, Corsica, Sicily) and the Eastern Mediterranean (Aegean Sea and Tunisian coasts). Furthermore, it identified the Venetian Lagoon population from the northern Adriatic Sea as a potentially diverging population. Interestingly, two other areas in the central Adriatic Sea, the natural marine parks of Mljet and Telascica, showed greater similarity to the Western Mediterranean samples than to those from Venice (*Ankon, 2017*).

In 2015, microsatellite markers were used for the first time for *P. nobilis* samples from the Balearic coasts (*González-Wangüemert et al., 2015*) suggesting their usefulness for the genetic diversity and connectivity assessments. *Wesselmann et al. (2018)* combined both mitochondrial and microsatellite markers along with lagrangian simulations to suggest a series of insightful conclusions for the populational genetics of *P. nobilis* with the upper aim of enhancing its conservation. In the Gulf of Lion (North-Western Mediterranean Sea) *P. nobilis* populations exhibited high genetic diversity across various locations, although there was no significant genetic differentiation among these populations, thus indicating a genetically homogeneous population spanning the entire coastline (*Peyran et al., 2021*). Clearly, the small geographic scale surveys seem to indicate populational genetic homogeneity; however, on a larger Mediterranean scale, where a greater number of samples are included, the distinction becomes more evident.

The majority of these publicly available sequences are partial sequences of the mitochondrial DNA, and for the most part COI and 16S rRNA genes. Even though the mtDNA is more widely used for phylogeographic purposes, it can reveal a significant level of differentiation among and within populations, as has been shown in several studies for marine bivalves (*Parker et al., 1998; Matsumoto, 2003; Wood et al., 2007; Feng et al., 2011; Fernández-Pérez et al., 2018; Ramadhaniaty, Setyobudiandi & Madduppa, 2018*). It should also be noted, that mtDNA in certain bivalves, such as *Donax trunculus (Theologidis et al., 2008)* and *Mytilus* spp. (*Zouros, 2013*), has a biparental inheritance which, undoubtedly, affects population diversity estimates based on it.

The aim of the present study was to (a) investigate the genetic diversity of the *P. nobilis* populations in an area of the Eastern Mediterranean Sea that has not been investigated to date, and (b) compare it with similar studies from the whole Mediterranean in an attempt to provide further insights into population structuring of this critically endangered species, which will offer a good estimation on the fitness and diversity of the Greek populations.



**Figure 1** Map of the sampling locations of the current study (numbers in square brackets indicate the number of samples). Credits: Giorgos Chatzigeorgiou. CC0. Map created using the Free and Open Source QGIS.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.16491/fig-1

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Sampling area

For the purpose of the study 105 samples were collected within the period of August 2018–April 2021 from six locations of the Eastern Mediterranean Sea and particularly from the Islands of Karpathos, Lesvos and Crete, Vourvourou (Chalkidiki peninsula), Attica Peninsula, and the Amvrakikos Gulf (Fig. 1, Table S1). Depth at each collection point was recorded by the divers using a diving computer. Samples from Crete, Chalkidiki and Attica Peninsulas and Amvrakikos Gulf were collected under a relevant research permit (175828/2195 of 14/11/2018) issued by the Greek Ministry of Environment and Energy, General Directorate for the Forests & Forest's Environment, Department of Wildlife and Hunting Management. Samples from Karpathos were collected under research permit 171978/1203 of 18/07/2018 issued by the Greek Ministry of Environment and Energy, General Directorate for the Forests & Forest's Environment, Department of Wildlife and Hunting Management.

D. Karagiannis of the National Reference Laboratory for Mollusc Diseases (Greek Government) provided the samples MYT1-MYT9 from Lesvos, which were collected under a permit from local authorities (MEE/GDDDP89926/1117). Samples TS1–TS6 from Lesvos were collected under a permit by the Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, Decentralized Administration of the Aegean (No. 52321/6-9-2018).

## eDNA sampling

The sampling method for Karpathos' samples was non–lethal, non-invasive and low impact aiming at the minimization of the disturbance towards the bivalves, since the tissue removal may provoke stress and make the animal more susceptible to diseases. Initially, a rod of 0.5 cm diameter was placed at the opening of the valves of each animal by the SCUBA divers in order to keep them slightly open, carefully taking into account the fragility of the shell's outermost part. Consequently, a sampling brush, resembling a buccal swab was used (Fig. S1) to scrape tissue remnants and mucus from the interior of the valves. The sampling brushes (one for each individual) were placed in small zip bags and stored at -20 °C until further processing. Additionally, the shells' width and height (above and below sediment) were recorded by the divers using a caliper.

## **Tissue sampling**

All the other samples were collected from sacrificed individuals under research permits, since the initial aim of the sampling was the investigation of the infection of *P. nobilis* from the parasite *H. pinnae*. Specifically, 50–100 mg of different tissues (mantle, gills, digestive gland) from each individual were removed, preserved in absolute ethanol and stored at 4 °C until further processing. As previously, the shells' width and height were recorded by the divers, for the majority of the individuals.

## **DNA** extraction

DNA was extracted according to the protocol of *Sambrook, Fritsch & Maniatis (1989)*, and as previously described in *Grau et al. (2022)*, both from the brushes as well as from the tissues. Specifically, in the case of the latter, small pieces of the collected tissues were chopped with sterile scissors; triplicate extractions were performed for each tissue. Each replicate sample was washed with 800 µl of sterile distilled water for 15 min, following centrifugation at 13,000 g for 2 min, as in *Darriba (2017)*. The supernatant was removed and the wash was repeated. Afterwards, each sample was washed with 600 µl of lysis buffer (0.5 M Tris, 0.1 M EDTA, 2% SDS, ph 8.8) for 15 min, following centrifugation at 13,000 g for 2 min and removal of the supernatant. The washes with the lysis buffer were repeated twice. The pellet was mixed with 600 µl of lysis buffer and 6 µl of proteinase K (20 mg/ml) and incubated at 55 °C overnight. DNA was extracted by precipitation with isopropanol and ammonium acetate (5 M) (*Sambrook, Fritsch & Maniatis, 1989*). In the final step of the DNA extraction protocol, *i.e.*, the elution of the DNA pellet, replicate samples were pooled and their concentration was measured in a NanoDrop 1,000 spectrophotometer (*Grau et al., 2022*); DNA concentrations are provided in Table S1.

## **PCR** amplifications

For the PCR amplification of the tissue samples, no specific tissue was chosen but rather a mixture of all the extracted DNAs, for each individual, in similar concentrations. Initially PCR amplifications were performed for the COI and 16S rRNA genes with previously used primers and conditions (*Folmer et al., 1994*; *Sanna et al., 2013*; *Sanna et al., 2014*; *Leray et al., 2013*); however, the amplifications were not successful. Therefore, new primers were

Table 1       Primers used in the present study.							
Target gene	Forward primer (5'–3')	Reverse primer (5'–3')	Amplification length (bp)	Reference			
	5'- CAGCTTTTGTAGAGGGCG - 3'	5'- CCAAATTACACCAGTCAGCC - 3'	722	this study			
COI	5'- GATCCGGGATAGTAGGTAC - 3'	5'- CMGGATGACCAAARAACC - 3'	645	this study			
	5'- ATGGCYGTCGATTTAGC - 3'	5'- CMGGATGACCAAARAACC - 3'	298	this study			
	LCO 1490	HCO 2198	710	Folmer et al. (1994)			
COI	mlCOIintF	jgHCO2198	313	<i>Leray et al. (2013)</i>			
	5'- GGTTGAACTATHTATCCNCC - 3'	5'- GAAATCATYCCAAAAGC - 3'	338	Sanna et al. (2013)			
16S rRNA	5'- GGTAGCGAAATTCCTAGCC - 3'	5'- AAKGGTSGAACAGACCC - 3'	408	this study			
16S rRNA	5'- TGCTCAATGCCCAAGGGGTAAAT - 3'	5'- AACTCAGATCACGTAGGG - 3'	450	Sanna et al. (2013)			
nad3	5'- CCTTATGARTGYGGBTTT - 3'	5'- TCHATAAGYTCATARTAYARCCC - 3'	203	Sanna et al. (2014)			

designed (Table 1) based on the available *P. nobilis* sequences in GenBank (*Sayers et al.*, 2023).

Each PCR contained 2  $\mu$ l of DNA template (about 20 ng/ul), 4  $\mu$ l of 5X KAPA HiFi Fidelity Buffer (Roche Molecular Systems, Inc., Basel, Switzerland), 1  $\mu$ l of each primer (10 um), 0.8  $\mu$ l of dNTPs (10 mM each), 1  $\mu$ l of KAPA HiFi HotStart DNA Polymerase (1 U/uL) (Roche Molecular Systems, Inc., Basel, Switzerland) in a total volume of 20 ul. Amplifications were performed at a BioRad T100 thermal cycler. The PCR protocol was the same for the two genes; namely a denaturation step at 95 °C for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of 98 °C for 20 s, 53 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s and a final extension step at 72 °C for 5 min.

Amplification of the 16S rRNA yielded in some cases a double PCR product; in this case, purification of both the PCR products was carried out from a 2% agarose gel using the NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-up (MACHEREY-NAGEL, Allentown, PA, USA). For the COI amplicons, a sodium acetate-absolute ethanol cleanup protocol was conducted. All purified PCR products were sequenced in an automated sequencer ABI 3730.

## Analyses

The ABI chromatograms were checked and corrected by eye using the BioEdit Sequencing Alignment Editor software (*Hall, 2011*) and MEGA X sequence analysis software (*Kumar et al., 2018*). 16S rRNA sequences, COI sequences and concatenated 16S rRNA-COI sequences (following the approach of *Sanna et al., 2013*) from the present study were aligned with the Clustal W package (*Thompson, Higgins & Gibson, 1994*) embedded in BioEdit and MEGA X. In addition, publicly available sequences of the corresponding genes (COI and 16S rRNA) of *P. nobilis*, for which sample location information was available, were also downloaded from GenBank and added to the aforementioned alignments (Table S2; Fig. S2). However, it should be highlighted that each survey—where the sequences derived from—aimed at a distinct gene region, and this resulted in a small overlap when the sequences were aligned all together. Furthermore, some surveys did not include both of the genes amplified in the present study, *i.e.*, they focused either only on the 16S rRNA gene or on the COI gene

# Peer.

rubic 2	Schere diversity estimates.						
	Dataset	Ν	Вр	h	Hd	Ps	Pi
1	16S rRNA-COI Greece (Eastern Mediterranean)	100	982	34	$0.91\pm0.017$	45	$0.00304 \pm 0.00029$
2	16S rRNA-COI Central, Western and Eastern Mediterranean Sea	294	714	104	$0.961\pm0.005$	72	$0.00511 \pm 0.00019$
3	COI Mediterranean Sea	450	243	48	$0.652\pm0.024$	36	$0.00475 \pm 0.00028$

Notes.

N, number of sequences; Bp, Base pairs; h, number of haplotypes; Hd, Haplotype diversity; Ps, Polymorphic sites; Pi, Nucleotide diversity.

(Fig. S2). For these reasons, it was not possible to create only one dataset that could include all this information and therefore three datasets were created instead (Table 2).

Phylogenetic trees were constructed using the IQ-TREE web server (*Trifinopoulos et al., 2016*) with automatic identification of substitution model and FreeRate heterogeneity, 100 bootstraps, 1,000 replicates of the SH-aLRT branch test and approximate Bayes test. DnaSP software (*Rozas et al., 2017*) was used to estimate the following variables: number of haplotypes (h), haplotype diversity (Hd), number of polymorphic loci (Ps), nucleotidic diversity (Pi) and Fst values. With the use of DnaSP .nex archives (nexus format) median joining haplotype networks were generated in PopART (*Leigh & Bryant, 2015*).

A map showing the distribution of the most abundant 16S rRNA-COI haplotypes in the different locations was generated using ggplot2 (v. 3.4.2) (Wickham, 2016) and scatterpie (v.0.2.1) (Yu, 2023). Populations were defined based on the Spalding et al. (2007) ecoregions, except in the case of the Aegean Sea where the ecoregion was divided into North and South Aegean Sea. F<sub>ST</sub> values between populations were calculated using the adegenet (v.2.1.7) (Jombart, 2008), pegas (v.1.2) (Paradis, 2010) and hierfstat packages (v.0.5.11) (Goudet & Jombart, 2022). The effect of the population on genetic differentiation was tested with the function *test.g* of the hierfstat package. AMOVA (Analysis of Molecular Variance) was performed to determine genetic variation between populations using poppr (v.2.9.4) (Kamvar, Tabima & Grünwald, 2014) and pegas packages. Isolation by distance was tested using a Mantel test between a matrix of genetic distances (calculated using Edwards' distance) and a matrix of geographic distance between populations (calculated using Euclidean geographic distances) using adegenet and MASS (v.7.3.57) (Venables & Ripley, 2002) packages. Discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC) and principal component analysis (PCA) were performed with the adegenet package. hierBAPS was also performed as a method for hierarchical clustering of the sequence data to reveal nested population structure, with the use of rhierbaps (v.1.1.4) (*Cheng et al.*, 2013), phytools (v.1.5.1) (Revell, 2012) and ggtree (v.3.2.1) (Yu et al., 2017) packages. Plots were created using ggplot2. All the aforementioned analyses were performed in R version 4.1.1 (R Core Team, 2021).

The map of the sampling sites was generated with the QGIS software. Raw DNA sequences from the present study are available from the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) (*Burgin et al., 2023*) at http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/view/OX406989-OX407068 (16S rRNA) and http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/view/OX407172-OX407248 (COI).



**Figure 2** Haplotype network for the 16S rRNA-COI dataset of Greece (Eastern Mediterranean). Circle size depicts the haplotype frequency; color coding according to sample location; details on the number of samples, sequence size and number of haplotypes are available in Table 2.

Full-size 🖾 DOI: 10.7717/peerj.16491/fig-2

# RESULTS

When examining the shell's dimensions, the relationship between the height above sediment with the height buried inside the sediment was quite linear for all the study areas (Fig. S3A; Table S3). However, in the regression between the total height and the width, the population from Lesvos followed a different pattern (Fig. S3B; Table S3). In addition, when the *P. nobilis* individuals are classified into age classes based on the total shell's height (*Butler, Vicente & de Gaulejac, 1993; Richardson et al., 1999; Tempesta, Ciriaco & Del Piero, 2013*), it is evident that juveniles were only present in Karpathos and Amvrakikos, while the majority of the individuals were adult juveniles (Fig. S4).

Overall, 36 (out of 60) amplifications of eDNA samples were successful for the 16S rRNA and 33 (out of 60) for the COI gene. All the tissue samples provided successful amplifications for both genes. The first dataset included 100 sequences (N) (concatenation of COI and 16SrRNA genes) of 982 bp from the Greek coastline (Eastern Mediterranean Sea). It revealed 34 haplotypes and 45 polymorphic sites. The haplotypic diversity was high (Hd:  $0.91 \pm 0.017$ ) while the nucleotide diversity was low (Pi:  $0.00304 \pm 0.00029$ ) (Table 2). The haplotype network had a star-like shape, with two central haplotypes from which all the other haplotypes derive (Fig. 2). The regions of Epanomi and Aggelochori from the North Aegean Sea along with Chios Island and Korinthiakos Gulf formed a distinct group compared to all the other regions. A similar indication of differentiation appeared also in the South Aegean with samples mainly from Karpathos being distinct from the other ones. AMOVA showed that there is a very small variation (0.52%) between the populations but

it is much higher, and statistically significant, between the samples (82.02%) (Table 3).  $F_{ST}$  values were quite low (Table S4), which also implied that there is no differentiation among the populations. When we tested for isolation by distance with the Mantel test, there was no clear isolation by distance pattern (Observation: -0.9748659; Simulated *p*-value: (1); however, in the scatterplot between the genetic distances and the geographic distances, two clouds of points appeared indicating that there might be distant patches (Fig. S5A). DAPC, after a-score optimization (10 PCs retained), showed that there are a few admixtured individuals (Fig. S5B) but overall DAPC classification is consistent with the original populations; reassignment to actual population was higher for the South Aegean Sea (>80%), followed by the North Aegean Sea (>60%) and the Ionian Sea (>40%). PCA also showed that the population clusters were not very clear (Fig. S5C). hierBAPS clustered the sequences into two main clades and two reduced ones (cluster log marginal likelihood: -444.275995882745) (Fig. S5D), which did not correspond to the geographic location of the populations. Interestingly, the fourth clade contained only three individuals from Epanomi, with the rest of them being found in clades 1 and 2.

The second dataset included 294 concatenated sequences (N) of 714 bp from the Western and Eastern Mediterranean Sea (COI and 16SrRNA). It revealed the highest number of haplotypes (104) and polymorphic sites (72) among all datasets. The haplotypic diversity (Hd:  $0.961 \pm 0.005$ ) was high and the nucleotide diversity was moderately high (Pi:  $0.00511 \pm 0.00019$ ) (Table 2). The haplotype network showed a clear differentiation among the three subregions; Adriatic Sea, Western and Eastern Mediterranean Sea (Ionian, North Aegean, South Aegean, Levantine) (Fig. 3). A few central, highly frequent haplotypes from the Western Mediterranean Sea split into many closely related unique haplotypes in a star-like scheme. The same structure was observed in the haplotypes that occurred in the Eastern Mediterranean although there were a few that were closer to the Western Mediterranean ones. The Venetian lagoon samples (Adriatic Sea), although distinct, showed a higher relatedness to the Western Mediterranean samples than the Eastern ones, thus strengthening the genetic structuring between Western and Eastern Mediterranean. When the 19 most abundant haplotypes were plotted (67% cumulative abundance), it was again evident that there is a population differentiation across the Mediterranean Sea (Fig. 4). AMOVA also showed a high variation between the populations (25.07%), but again a higher one between the samples (67.33%), with both values being statistically significant (Table 3); however,  $F_{ST}$  values were quite low (Table S5). When we tested for isolation by distance with the Mantel test, there was no clear pattern (Observation: 0.05073212; Simulated p-value: 0.401) and there was one single consistent cloud of point in the scatterplot, without discontinuities indicating patches (Fig. S6A). DAPC, after a-score optimization (12 PCs retained), showed that there are again a few admixtured individuals (Fig. S6B) but overall DAPC classification is consistent with the original populations; reassignment to actual population reached 100% for the South Aegean Sea population and was higher than 40% for all populations, except for the Ionian Sea one (>10%). PCA also did not reveal a clear clustering (Fig. S6C). hierBAPS clustered the sequences into two main clades and a third, more reduced one (cluster log marginal likelihood: -1485.08119596456) (Fig. S6D), which again was not according to geographic location.

## Table 3 AMOVA table using genetic distances based on haplotype frequencies of the P. nobilis populations.

	Scenarios	Source of variation	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Components of covariance (Sigma)	Variation (%)	P-value
Greece (Eastern Mediterranean) (165 rRNA-COI)	Ionian Sea (Amvrakikos, Korinthiakos) - North Aegean Sea (Lesvos, Aggelochori, Epanomi, Chios, Vourvourou) - South Aegean Sea (Attica, Diafani, Tristomo, Astakida, Crete)	Between populations	2	18.51356	0.01582342	0.52	0.28
		Between samples within population	9	57.22781	0.53133279	17.46	0.01
		Within samples	88	219.5786	2.49521173	82.02	0.01
Central, West- ern and Eastern Mediterranean Sea (16S rRNA- COI)	Adriatic Sea (Venice) - Ionian Sea (Amvrakikos, Korinthiakos, Sicily East) - North Aegean Sea (Lesvos, Aggelochori, Epanomi, Chios, Vourvourou) - South Aegean Sea (Attica, Diafani, Tris- tomo, Astakida, Crete) - Levantine Sea (Cyprus) - Western Mediterranean (Italy, Sicily West, Corsica, Sardinia)	Between populations	5	213.2511	0.8919529	25.07	0.01
		Between samples within population	13	77.38444	0.2702837	7.60	0.01
		Within samples	275	658.6637	2.3951407	67.33	0.01
Mediterranean Sea (COI)	Adriatic Sea (Venice) - Ionian Sea (Amvrakikos, Korinthiakos, Sicily East) - North Aegean Sea (Levos, Aggelochori, Epanomi, Chios, Vourvourou) - South Aegean Sea (Attica, Diafani, Tristomo, Astakida, Crete) - Levantine Sea (Cyprus) - Western Mediterranean (Bizerta Lagoon, Italy, Sicily West, Corsica, Sardinia, France, Spain) - Tunisian Plateau/Gulf of Sidra (El Ketef, Stah Jaber, Kerkennah Island, El Bibane Lagoon)	Between populations	6	32.72616	0.09382413	9.56	0.01
		Between samples within population	19	20.06477	0.01168851	1.19	0.06
		Within samples	423	370.5275	0.87595168	89.26	0.01



**Figure 3** Haplotype network for the 16S rRNA-COI dataset of the Central, Western and Eastern Mediterranean Sea. Circle size depicts the haplotype frequency; color coding according to sample location; details on the number of samples, sequence size and number of haplotypes are available in Table 2.

#### Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.16491/fig-3





Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.16491/fig-4

The third dataset included 450 sequences (N) of the COI gene (243 bp) again from the whole Mediterranean Sea. It revealed 48 haplotypes and 36 polymorphic sites. The haplotypic diversity (Hd:  $0.652 \pm 0.024$ ) was lower than the one observed in the other two datasets and the nucleotide diversity was moderately high (Pi:  $0.00475 \pm 0.00028$ ) (Table 2). AMOVA showed a low, but statistically significant, variation between the populations (9.56%) and a much higher variation between the samples (89.26%), again statistically significant (Table 3).  $F_{ST}$  values were again quite low (Table S6). Again, there was no clear pattern of isolation by distance (Observation: 0.2545314; Simulated *p*-value: 0.213) and one single consistent cloud of points in the scatterplot (Fig. S7A). DAPC, after a-score optimization (nine PCs retained), showed a very similar pattern for most of the individuals (Fig. S7B). Reassignment to actual population was very low for the Ionian Sea, North Aegean Sea and Tunisian Plateau/Gulf of Sidra (<10% in all cases); however, it was >40% for the Western Mediterranean and Levantine Sea populations. PCA again did not reveal a clear clustering (Fig. S7C) and hierBAPS clustered the sequences into three clades, as for the second dataset (cluster log marginal likelihood: -1013.22748489699) (Fig. S7D); the third smaller clade, contained sequences from diverging individuals both from the Eastern as well as from the Western Mediterranean.

# **DISCUSSION**

## Morphology of pen shells

As it has been reported, growth rates of *P. nobilis* are variable based on the availability of zooplankton, which is reflected in the location of the individuals (*Richardson et al.,* 1999). In addition, it has been estimated that the average growth rate for juveniles is 0.28 mm per day, *i.e.*,  $\sim$ 10 cm per year (*Hendriks et al., 2012*). According to the distribution of total height of individuals in the studied populations, more than half of our samples are categorized as adult juveniles, and should probably be 2–8 years old (*Richardson et al., 1999*). Lack of juveniles in all populations except of the population in Karpathos and Amvrakikos, may have been related to the infection of the populations and the subsequent MME.

## **Population genetic structure**

This study contributes significantly to the knowledge of *P. nobilis* genetic structure as it provides data from regions of the Greek coastline that had not been sampled before. Currently, to the best of our knowledge, the only population with living individuals of *P. nobilis* is the one in Amvrakikos Gulf; all the other sampled locations have no individuals surviving the MME.

The results of this study also indicate that within the Eastern Mediterranean Sea there is no differentiation among the different geographic regions that were sampled implying a high connectivity among them, *i.e.*, the isolation by distance of the populations of North and South Aegean Sea, as well as of Ionian and Aegean Sea is not relevant. Similar results have been found for the horse mussel (*Modiolus barbatus*), a fact which was attributed to the very long (up to 6 months) pelagic larval stage of the species (*Giantsis et al., 2019*), which, however, exceeds by far that of *P. nobilis*. A similar high connectivity trend was also indicated for the Western Mediterranean *P. nobilis* populations; this could suggest the possible occurrence of ecological/biological traits, which are typical of the species in the whole Mediterranean basin and affect the species's population structuring. Another potential explanation for the lack of differentiation between the Eastern Mediterranean Sea populations could be transplantations of individuals. Transplantations have been suggested to be responsible for the absence of geographic structure of *Mytilus galloprovincialis* 

populations in the Aegean Sea (Giantsis, Kravva & Apostolidis, 2012). This might have been the case also for *P. nobilis*, as transplantations had been proposed as a conservation action for the protection of the species (Katsanevakis, 2016; Acarli, 2021), although they were most likely performed only on a local scale and, thus, they should not have influenced the genetic structure of *P. nobilis* at the scale of the Aegean Sea. However, since they were not documented in detail, it is impossible to fully assess their potential effect on the populations. A slight population differentiation is observed between the regions of the North and South Aegean Sea (Fig. 2). For the South Aegean, this could be attributed to the higher number of collected samples compared to the other regions, leading to a higher haplotypic diversity in this case. On the other hand, it could be attributed to the fact that the island of Karpathos is part of a marine protected area (MPA). Although the design of MPAs is generally not based on genetic and genomic data (Sandström et al., 2016; *Xuereb et al.*, 2020), in certain cases it has been shown that they succeed in preserving most of the genetic diversity of their keystone species (Miller & Ayre, 2008), and combined with the protection measures for those species, they might end up preserving a higher number of haplotypes.

In the haplotype network of the Eastern Mediterranean (Fig. 2) the haplotypes of North Aegean (Epanomi, Aggelochori, Chios) formed a subgroup shown in blue coloring; yet the Korinthiakos Gulf (Ionian Sea) also shares them. These haplotypes were described by *Katsares et al.* (2008) and were grouped with the ones from the Tunisian coasts in the research of *Sanna et al.* (2013), reinforcing the hypothesis of the high connectivity within the Eastern Mediterranean basin. On the other hand, the populations that were sampled within the present study (sampled in the period 2018–2021) did not share the above mentioned haplotypes. The intervening period between the studies coincided with the outbreak of the MME, thus raising questions on the association of the populations genetic structuring and the mass mortality events the populations of the species underwent. Unfortunately, it is not possible to estimate the potential genetic structuring of the populations if the MME had not occurred, since the majority of the populations have not survived it. The only exception is the population in Amvrakikos Gulf, for which there is no pre-MME genetic information.

The findings of this study support the distinction of the *P. nobilis* individuals into three regions of the Mediterranean Sea. The case of the Adriatic Sea is explained in detail in *Sanna et al. (2013)*; it is a semi-enclosed sea where the genetic flow from the rest of the Mediterranean Sea is not that high. The other basins of the Mediterranean Sea are distinct for a number of other species (*Penaeus (Melicertus) kerathurus: (Zitari-Chatti et al., 2009)*; *Pomatoschistus tortonesei: (Mejri et al., 2009)*; *Holothuria polii: (Gharbi & Said, 2011)*; *Carcinus aestuarii: (Deli, Said & Chatti, 2015)*, including *P. nobilis (Sanna et al., 2013)*. The present study analyzed a high number of samples from the Eastern Mediterranean Sea in order to confirm this pattern. The concatenation of the COI and 16S rRNA genes that was used in the present study has also proved useful and more informative in other genetic studies of bivalves (*Yuan, He & Huang, 2009; Feng et al., 2011; Slynko et al., 2018*), and shows that there is a certain level of differentiation between the *P. nobilis* populations in the Western *vs* Eastern Basin. Consistently with the Mediterranean pattern of diffusion

already proposed in *Sanna et al. (2013)* for this species, this finding suggests that the already known oceanographic barriers at the Sicily Strait and at the Otranto Strait might be limiting the dispersal of the species and minimizing the gene flow (*Čekovská et al., 2020*). Due to its short pelagic larval duration stage, *P. nobilis* is a species which is considered to be rather affected by currents and fronts; at the same time, it could be less prone to gene flow from other locations (*Pascual et al., 2017*) and it could exhibit strong population structuring, as has been shown for other bivalves also characterized by a short planktonic larval stage (*Ye*, *Wu & Li, 2015*).

#### eDNA and mtDNA marker sequencing

eDNA has been used widely for biodiversity assessments (Pereira et al., 2021) and for the detection of cryptic, threatened (Hunter et al., 2018) and invasive species (Ardura et al., 2015). This study was the first, to our knowledge, to use eDNA collected separately from each individual for genetic variation assessment on a critically endangered species, although its potential has been advocated for in the literature (*Barnes & Turner*, 2016; Adams et al., 2019). Our results suggest that the approach can be replicated to other organisms where minimal disturbance and non-invasive methods are in order. In addition, it can be employed in the few remaining populations of *P. nobilis* around the Mediterranean, such as the ones in Ebro Delta (Prado et al., 2020), the Occitan coast (Peyran et al., 2022) and the one in Amvrakikos Gulf. Successful amplification for our chosen markers was possible for about half of the samples, which is lower compared to the amplification success from the tissue samples, as was originally expected. However, this number is still considered adequate for the estimation of population genetics indices. Another advantage of this approach is the certainty that each sample of genetic material corresponds to a specific individual which would not have been possible if the eDNA matrix was *e.g.*, water or sediment collected from the study sites; however, there have been studies on population-level inferences from eDNA water samples mostly regarding large populations of fish (Sigsgaard et al., 2020).

The results of the present study are based on the sequencing of two mtDNA genes and there is the possibility that they would be different if another approach was used instead or in complement to ours, such as sequencing of microsatellites markers (*Meenakshi, Remya & Sanil, 2010; Vanhaecke et al., 2012*) or ddRAD sequencing (*Darschnik et al., 2019; Ortiz et al., 2021*) or even the addition of more mtDNA markers (*e.g., D-loop*) (*Pourkazemi, Skibinski & Beardmore, 1999; Parmaksiz, 2019*); using more detailed approaches would enhance the assessment of the genetic structure of *P. nobilis* throughout the Mediterranean. However, as mentioned previously, *P. nobilis* is a critically endangered species and the number of available samples for deciphering population genetic structure is quite limited; thus, it is challenging to detect the remaining populations of the species and obtain the appropriate number of samples, with a subsequent high DNA quality, while, at the same time, ensuring the well-being of the organisms.

# **CONCLUSIONS AND PROSPECTS AHEAD**

The present study is the first one that includes such a high number of *P. nobilis* specimens from different areas of the Eastern Mediterranean basin. Therefore, it significantly contributes to the knowledge of the genetic variability of the pen shell's populations; the number of available COI sequences has increased 3-fold, while the number of 16S rRNA sequences has had a 20-fold increase. In light of the MME, coordinated studies on the genetic diversity of *P. nobilis* throughout the Mediterranean Sea, with the cooperation of researchers, institutes and universities, should be performed towards the aim of the conservation and management of the remaining populations of the species. An orchestrated attempt of a pan-Mediterranean investigation appears to be indispensable. Scientific cooperation and use of common standards should be implemented in order to obtain more FAIR data and therefore lead more efficiently to knowledge (*Wilkinson et al., 2016*). In future conservational plans on a national level, the Eastern Mediterranean basin should be considered as homogenous, based on the findings herein. It is obvious that more samples from the Southern-Eastern Mediterranean Sea would shed more light on the population genetics status of the species.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the Management Agency of the Dodecanese Protected Areas (MADPA) and especially Mr Dinos Protopapas and Mr Giorgos Prearis (captain of the R/V Saria) for providing assistance during our sampling campaign in Karpathos Island. The authors would like to also thank Dr Vassilis Gerakaris and Hippocampus Bali dive center for assistance during the sample collection. We would also like to thank Dr Katerina Vasileiadou (ORCID: 0000-0002-5057-6417) and Ms Xenia Sarropoulou (ORCID: 0000-0003-3671-9693) for their invaluable contribution to the manuscript and the statistical analyses.

# **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS**

## Funding

This work was supported by the RECONNECT project [MIS 5017160] financed by the Transnational Cooperation Programme Interreg V-B "Balkan-Mediterranean 2014–2020" and co-funded by the European Union and National Funds of the participating countries and by the PINNA STATUS project, funded by the Hellenic Green Fund and its funding program "Natural Environment and Innovative Environmental Actions 2020", in the Priority Axis "Biodiversity Conservation Actions". The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

## **Grant Disclosures**

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: Transnational Cooperation Programme Interreg V-B "Balkan-Mediterranean 2014-2020": MIS 5017160. Natural Environment and Innovative Environmental Actions 2020.

## **Competing Interests**

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

#### **Author Contributions**

- Georgia Sarafidou performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Dimitris Tsaparis analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Yiannis Issaris performed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, resources (Provision of samples), Funding acquisition, Project administration, and approved the final draft.
- Giorgos Chatzigeorgiou performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, resources (Provision of samples), and approved the final draft.
- Panos Grigoriou performed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, resources (Provision of samples), and approved the final draft.
- Eva Chatzinikolaou conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, resources (Provision of samples), and approved the final draft.
- Christina Pavloudi conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, funding acquisition, Project administration, and approved the final draft.

#### **Field Study Permissions**

The following information was supplied relating to field study approvals (*i.e.*, approving body and any reference numbers):

Samples were collected under a research permit (175828/2195 of 14/11/2018) issued by the Greek Ministry of Environment and Energy, General Directorate for the Forests & Forest's Environment, Department of Wildlife and Hunting Management.

#### **Data Availability**

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The raw sequences are available at the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA): 16S rRNA, OX406989-OX407068 and COI, OX407172-OX407248.

#### **Supplemental Information**

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.16491#supplemental-information.

# REFERENCES

Acarli S. 2021. Population, aquaculture and transplantation applications of critically endangered species *Pinna nobilis* (Linnaeus 1758) in the Mediterranean Sea. *Marine Science and Technology Bulletin* 10:350–369 DOI 10.33714/masteb.627562.

- Adams CIM, Knapp M, Gemmell NJ, Jeunen G-J, Bunce M, Lamare MD, Taylor HR. 2019. Beyond biodiversity: can environmental DNA (eDNA) cut it as a population genetics tool? *Genes* 10:192 DOI 10.3390/genes10030192.
- Akyol O, Ulaş A. 2015. Two Decapod Crustacean species, Pontonia pinnophylax (Otto, 1821) and Nepinnotheres pinnotheres (Linnaeus, 1758), living inside Pinna nobilis Linnaeus, 1758 in Izmir Bay (Aegean Sea, Turkey). Turkish Journal of Maritime and Marine Sciences 1:102–106.
- Ankon P. 2017. Genetic and morphological variability of the noble pen shell (*Pinna nobilis* Linnaeus, 1758) in Nature park Telašćica and National park Mljet. PhD thesis, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb.
- Ardura A, Zaiko A, Martinez JL, Samulioviene A, Semenova A, Garcia-Vazquez E. 2015. eDNA and specific primers for early detection of invasive species –a case study on the bivalve *Rangia cuneata*, currently spreading in Europe. *Marine Environmental Research* 112:48–55 DOI 10.1016/j.marenvres.2015.09.013.

Barnes MA, Turner CR. 2016. The ecology of environmental DNA and implications for conservation genetics. *Conservation Genetics* 17:1–17 DOI 10.1007/s10592-015-0775-4.

- **Basso L, Hendriks I, Steckbauer A, Duarte C. 2015.** Resistance of juveniles of the Mediterranean pen shell, (*Pinna nobilis*) to hypoxia and interaction with warming. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* **165**:199–203 DOI 10.1016/j.ecss.2015.05.016.
- Box A, Sureda A, Deudero S. 2009. Antioxidant response of the bivalve *Pinna nobilis* colonised by invasive red macroalgae *Lophocladia lallemandii*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology* 5:456–460.
- Burgin J, Ahamed A, Cummins C, Devraj R, Gueye K, Gupta D, Gupta V, Haseeb M, Ihsan M, Ivanov E, Jayathilaka S, Balavenkataraman Kadhirvelu V, Kumar M, Lathi A, Leinonen R, Mansurova M, McKinnon J, O'Cathail C, Paupério J, Pesant S, Rahman N, Rinck G, Selvakumar S, Suman S, Vijayaraja S, Waheed Z, Woollard P, Yuan D, Zyoud A, Burdett T, Cochrane G. 2023. The European nucleotide archive in 2022. Nucleic Acids Research 51:D121–D125 DOI 10.1093/nar/gkac1051.
- Butler A, Vicente N, de Gaulejac B. 1993. Ecology of the pterioid bivalves Pinna bicolor Gmelin and *Pinna nobilis* (L.). *Marine Life* 3:37–45.
- Carella F, Aceto S, Pollaro F, Miccio A, Iaria C, Carrasco N, Prado P, De Vico G. 2019. A mycobacterial disease is associated with the silent mass mortality of the pen shell *Pinna nobilis* along the Tyrrhenian coastline of Italy. *Scientific Reports* **9**:2725 DOI 10.1038/s41598-018-37217-y.
- Carella F, Palić D, Šarić T, Župan I, Gorgoglione B, Prado P, Andree KB, Giantsis IA, Michaelidis B, Lattos A, Theodorou JA, Luis Barja Perez J, Rodriguez S, Scarpa F, Casu M, Antuofermo E, Sanna D, Otranto D, Panarese R, Iaria C, Marino F, Vico GD. 2023. Multipathogen infections and multifactorial pathogenesis involved in noble pen shell (*Pinna nobilis*) mass mortality events: background and current pathologic approaches. *Veterinary Pathology* 03009858231186737 DOI 10.1177/03009858231186737.
- Catanese G, Grau A, Valencia JM, Garcia-March JR, Vázquez-Luis M, Alvarez E, Deudero S, Darriba S, Carballal MJ, Villalba A. 2018. *Haplosporidium pinnae* sp.

nov. a haplosporidan parasite associated with mass mortalities of the fan mussel, *Pinna nobilis*, in the Western Mediterranean Sea. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* **157**:9–24 DOI 10.1016/j.jip.2018.07.006.

- Čekovská K, Šanda R, Eliášová K, Kovačić M, Zogaris S, Pappalardo AM, Soukupová T, Vukić J. 2020. Population genetic diversity of two marine gobies (*Gobiiformes: Gobiidae*) from the North-Eastern Atlantic and the Mediterranean Sea. Journal of *Marine Science and Engineering* 8:792 DOI 10.3390/jmse8100792.
- Centoducati G, Tarsitano E, Bottalico A, Marvulli M, Lai OR, Crescenzo G. 2007. Monitoring of the endangered *Pinna nobilis* Linné, 1758 in the Mar Grande of Taranto (Ionian Sea, Italy). *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 131:339–347 DOI 10.1007/s10661-006-9479-z.
- **Cheng L, Connor TR, Sirén J, Aanensen DM, Corander J. 2013.** Hierarchical and spatially explicit clustering of DNA sequences with BAPS software. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **30**:1224–1228 DOI 10.1093/molbev/mst028.
- Darriba S. 2017. First haplosporidan parasite reported infecting a member of the Superfamily Pinnoidea (*Pinna nobilis*) during a mortality event in Alicante (Spain, Western Mediterranean). *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 148:14–19 DOI 10.1016/j.jip.2017.05.006.
- Darschnik S, Leese F, Weiss M, Weigand H. 2019. When barcoding fails: development of diagnostic nuclear markers for the sibling caddisfly species Sericostoma personatum (Spence in Kirby & Spence, 1826) and Sericostoma flavicorne Schneider, 1845. ZooKeys 872:57–68 DOI 10.3897/zookeys.872.34278.
- **Deli T, Said K, Chatti N. 2015.** Genetic differentiation among populations of the Green Crab *Carcinus aestuarii* (Brachyura, Carcinidae) from the Eastern and Western Mediterranean Coast of Tunisia. *Acta Zoologica Bulgarica* **67**:327–335.
- Feng Y, Li Q, Kong L, Zheng X. 2011. DNA barcoding and phylogenetic analysis of Pectinidae (Mollusca: Bivalvia) based on mitochondrial COI and 16S rRNA genes. *Molecular Biology Reports* 38:291–299 DOI 10.1007/s11033-010-0107-1.
- Fernández-Pérez J, Nantón A, Arias-Pérez A, Freire R, Martínez-Patiño D, Méndez J. 2018. Mitochondrial DNA analyses of *Donax trunculus* (Mollusca: Bivalvia) population structure in the Iberian Peninsula, a bivalve with high commercial importance. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 28:1139–1152 DOI 10.1002/aqc.2929.
- Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R. 1994. DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. *Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology* 3:294–299.
- **Gharbi A, Said K. 2011.** Genetic variation and population structure of *Holothuria polii* from the eastern and western Mediterranean coasts in Tunisia. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom **91**:1599–1606 DOI 10.1017/S0025315411000245.
- **Giantsis IA, Exadactylos A, Feidantsis K, Michaelidis B. 2019.** First insights towards the population genetic structure and the phylogeographic status of the horse mussel

(*Modiolus barbatus*) from the eastern Mediterranean. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **99**:1111–1118 DOI 10.1017/S0025315418001133.

- Giantsis IA, Kravva N, Apostolidis AP. 2012. Genetic characterization and evaluation of anthropogenic impacts on genetic patterns in cultured and wild populations of mussels (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*) from Greece. *Genetics and Molecular Research: GMR* 11:3814–3823 DOI 10.4238/2012.August.17.14.
- González-Wangüemert M, Costa J, Basso L, Duarte CM, Serrão EA, Hendriks I. 2015. Highly polymorphic microsatellite markers for the Mediterranean endemic fan mussel *Pinna nobilis*. *Mediterranean Marine Science* **16**(1):31–35 DOI 10.12681/mms.949.
- **Goudet J, Jombart T. 2022.** hierfstat: estimation and tests of hierarchical F-statistics. R package version 0.5-11. *Available at https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=hierfstat*.
- Grau A, Villalba A, Navas JI, Hansjosten B, Valencia JM, García-March JR, Prado P,
  Follana-Berná G, Morage T, Vázquez-Luis M, Álvarez E, Katharios P, Pavloudi C,
  Nebot-Colomer E, Tena-Medialdea J, Lopez-Sanmartín M, Peyran C, Čižmek H,
  Sarafidou G, Issaris Y, Tüney-Kizilkaya I, Deudero S, Planes S, Catanese G. 2022.
  Wide-geographic and long-term analysis of the role of pathogens in the decline of *Pinna nobilis* to critically endangered species. *Frontiers in Marine Science* 9:666640.
- Hall T. 2011. BioEdit: an important software for molecular biology. *GERF Bulletin of Biosciences* 2:60–61.
- Hassine OKB, Zouari ST, Rabaoui L. 2008. Two species of Crustacea (Decapoda) associated with the fan mussel, *pinna nobilis* Linnaeus, 1758 (Mollusca, Bivalvia). *Crustaceana* 81:433–446 DOI 10.1163/156854008783797507.
- Hendriks IE, Basso L, Deudero S, Cabanellas-Reboredo M, Álvarez E. 2012. Relative growth rates of the noble pen shell *pinna nobilis* throughout ontogeny around the Balearic Islands (Western Mediterranean, Spain). *Journal of Shellfish Research* 31:749–756 DOI 10.2983/035.031.0319.
- Hendriks IE, Tenan S, Tavecchia G, Marbà N, Jordà G, Deudero S, Álvarez E, Duarte CM. 2013. Boat anchoring impacts coastal populations of the pen shell, the largest bivalve in the Mediterranean. *Biological Conservation* 160:105–113 DOI 10.1016/j.biocon.2013.01.012.
- Hunter ME, Meigs-Friend G, Ferrante JA, Kamla AT, Dorazio RM, Diagne LK, Luna F, Lanyon JM, Reid JP. 2018. Surveys of environmental DNA (eDNA): a new approach to estimate occurrence in Vulnerable manatee populations. *Endangered Species Research* 35:101–111 DOI 10.3354/esr00880.
- Jombart T. 2008. adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers. *Bioinformatics* 24:1403–1405 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btn129.
- Kamvar ZN, Tabima JF, Grünwald NJ. 2014. Poppr: an R package for genetic analysis of populations with clonal, partially clonal, and/or sexual reproduction. *PeerJ* 2:e281 DOI 10.7717/peerj.281.
- Katsanevakis S. 2016. Transplantation as a conservation action to protect the Mediterranean fan mussel *Pinna nobilis. Marine Ecology Progress Series* 546:113–122 DOI 10.3354/meps11658.

- Katsanevakis S, Poursanidis D, Issaris Y, Panou A, Petza D, Vassilopoulou V, Chaldaiou I, Sini M. 2011. Protected marine shelled molluscs: thriving in Greek seafood restaurants. *Mediterranean Marine Science* 12:429–438 DOI 10.12681/mms.42.
- Katsanevakis S, Tsirintanis K, Tsaparis D, Doukas D, Sini M, Athanassopoulou F, Kolygas M, Tontis D, Koutsoubas D, Bakopoulos V. 2019. The cryptogenic parasite *Haplosporidium pinnae* invades the Aegean Sea and causes the collapse of *Pinna nobilis* populations. *Aquatic Invasions* 14:150–164 DOI 10.3391/ai.2019.14.2.01.
- Katsares V, Tsiora A, Galinou-Mitsoudi S, Imsiridou A. 2008. Genetic structure of the endangered species *Pinna nobilis* (Mollusca: Bivalvia) inferred from mtDNA sequences. *Biologia* 63:412–417 DOI 10.2478/s11756-008-0061-8.
- Kersting D, Benabdi M, Čižmek H, Grau A, Jimenez C, Katsanevakis S, Öztürk B, Tuncer S, Tunesi L, Vázquez-Luis M, Vicente N, Otero Villanueva M. 2019. *Pinna nobilis*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2019. Gland: IUCN.
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K. 2018. MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 35:1547–1549 DOI 10.1093/molbev/msy096.
- Leigh JW, Bryant D. 2015. popart: full-feature software for haplotype network construction. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 6:1110–1116 DOI 10.1111/2041-210X.12410.
- Leray M, Yang JY, Meyer CP, Mills SC, Agudelo N, Ranwez V, Boehm JT, Machida RJ. 2013. A new versatile primer set targeting a short fragment of the mitochondrial COI region for metabarcoding metazoan diversity: application for characterizing coral reef fish gut contents. *Frontiers in Zoology* 10:34 DOI 10.1186/1742-9994-10-34.
- Matsumoto M. 2003. Phylogenetic analysis of the subclass Pteriomorphia (Bivalvia) from mtDNA COI sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 27:429–440.
- Meenakshi K, Remya R, Sanil G. 2010. DNA barcoding and microsatellite marker development for Nyctibatrachus major: the threatened amphibian species. In: *Proceedings of the international symposium on biocomputing. ISB '10.* New York: Association for Computing Machinery, 1–3 DOI 10.1145/1722024.1722029.
- Mejri R, Brutto SLo, Hassine OKB, Arculeo M. 2009. A study on *Pomatoschistus tortonesei* Miller 1968 (Perciformes, Gobiidae) reveals the Siculo-Tunisian Strait (STS) as a breakpoint to gene flow in the Mediterranean basin. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 53:596–601 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2009.04.018.
- Miller KJ, Ayre DJ. 2008. Protection of genetic diversity and maintenance of connectivity among reef corals within marine protected areas. *Conservation Biology* 22:1245–1254 DOI 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2008.00985.x.
- Ortiz D, Pekár S, Bilat J, Alvarez N. 2021. Poor performance of DNA barcoding and the impact of RAD loci filtering on the species delimitation of an Iberian ant-eating spider. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 154:106997 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2020.106997.
- Panarese R, Tedesco P, Chimienti G, Latrofa MS, Quaglio F, Passantino G, Buonavoglia C, Gustinelli A, Tursi A, Otranto D. 2019. *Haplosporidium pinnae* associated with mass mortality in endangered *Pinna nobilis* (Linnaeus 1758) fan mussels. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 164:32–37 DOI 10.1016/j.jip.2019.04.005.

- **Paradis E. 2010.** pegas: an R package for population genetics with an integrated–modular approach. *Bioinformatics* **26**:419–420 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp696.
- Parker PG, Snow AA, Schug MD, Booton GC, Fuerst PA. 1998. What molecules can tell us about populations: choosing and using a molecular marker. *Ecology* **79**:361–382 DOI 10.1890/0012-9658(1998)079[0361:WMCTUA]2.0.CO;2.
- **Parmaksiz A. 2019.** Population genetic diversity of Yellow Barbell (*Carasobarbus luteus*) from Kueik, Euphrates and Tigris Rivers based on mitochondrial DNA D-loop sequences. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **20**:79–86.
- Pascual M, Rives B, Schunter C, Macpherson E. 2017. Impact of life history traits on gene flow: a multispecies systematic review across oceanographic barriers in the Mediterranean Sea. *PLOS ONE* 12:e0176419 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0176419.
- **Pereira CL, Gilbert MTP, Araújo MB, Matias MG. 2021.** Fine-tuning biodiversity assessments: a framework to pair eDNA metabarcoding and morphological approaches. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **12**:2397–2409 DOI 10.1111/2041-210X.13718.
- Peyran C, Boissin E, Morage T, Nebot-Colomer E, Iwankow G, Planes S. 2021. Genetic homogeneity of the critically endangered fan mussel, *Pinna nobilis*, throughout lagoons of the Gulf of Lion (North-Western Mediterranean Sea). *Scientific Reports* 11:7805 DOI 10.1038/s41598-021-87493-4.
- Peyran C, Morage T, Nebot-Colomer E, Iwankow G, Planes S. 2022. Unexpected residual habitats raise hope for the survival of the fan mussel *Pinna nobilis* along the Occitan coast (Northwest Mediterranean Sea). *Endangered Species Research* 48:123–137 DOI 10.3354/esr01191.
- Polgar G, Jaafar Z. 2018. Flagship species. In: Polgar G, Jaafar Z, eds. Endangered Forested Wetlands of Sundaland: 'ecology, connectivity, conservation. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 57–88 DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-52417-7\_4.
- **Pourkazemi M, Skibinski DOF, Beardmore JA. 1999.** Application of mtDNA dloop region for the study of Russian sturgeon population structure from Iranian coastline of the Caspian Sea. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **15**:23–28 DOI 10.1111/j.1439-0426.1999.tb00199.x.
- Prado P, Andree KB, Trigos S, Carrasco N, Caiola N, García-March JR, Tena J, Fernández-Tejedor M, Carella F. 2020. Breeding, planktonic and settlement factors shape recruitment patterns of one of the last remaining major population of *Pinna nobilis* within Spanish waters. *Hydrobiologia* 847:771–786 DOI 10.1007/s10750-019-04137-5.
- Rabaoui L, Belgacem W, Ismail DBen, Mansour L, Tlig-Zouari S. 2015. Engineering effect of *Pinna nobilis* shells on benthic communities. *Oceanologia* 57:271–279 DOI 10.1016/j.oceano.2015.03.002.
- Rabaoui L, Mejri R, Tlig-Zouari S, Bahri L, Ben Hassine OK, Tsigenopoulos CS.
  2011. Genetic variation among populations of the endangered fan mussel *Pinna nobilis* (Mollusca: Bivalvia) along the Tunisian coastline. *Hydrobiologia* 678:99–111
  DOI 10.1007/s10750-011-0827-9.
- Ramadhaniaty M, Setyobudiandi I, Madduppa HH. 2018. Morphogenetic and population structure of two species marine bivalve (Ostreidae: *Saccostrea cucullata* and

*Crassostrea iredalei*) in Aceh, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity* **19**:978–988 DOI 10.13057/biodiv/d190329.

- **R Core Team. 2021.** R: a language and environment for statistical computing. *Available at https://www.r-project.org/.*
- **Revell LJ. 2012.** phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **3**:217–223 DOI 10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00169.x.
- Richardson CA, Kennedy H, Duarte CM, Kennedy DP, Proud SV. 1999. Age and growth of the fan mussel Pinna nobilis from south-east Spanish Mediterranean seagrass (Posidonia oceanica) meadows. *Marine Biology* 133:205–212 DOI 10.1007/s002270050459.
- Rozas J, Ferrer-Mata A, Sánchez-DelBarrio JC, Guirao-Rico S, Librado P, Ramos-Onsins SE, Sánchez-Gracia A. 2017. DnaSP 6: DNA sequence polymorphism analysis of large data sets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 34:3299–3302 DOI 10.1093/molbev/msx248.
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T. 1989. *Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual*. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Sandström A, Lundmark C, Jansson E, Edman M, Laikre L. 2016. Assessment of management practices regarding genetic biodiversity in Baltic Sea marine protected areas. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 25:1187–1205 DOI 10.1007/s10531-016-1121-y.
- Sanna D, Cossu P, Dedola GL, Scarpa F, Maltagliati F, Castelli A, Franzoi P, Lai T,
   Cristo B, Curini-Galletti M, Francalacci P, Casu M. 2013. Mitochondrial DNA
   reveals genetic structuring of Pinna nobilis across the Mediterranean Sea. *PLOS ONE* 8:17.
- Sanna D, Dedola GL, Scarpa F, Lai T, Cossu P, Alaya HB, Curini-Galletti M, Francalacci P, Casu M. 2014. New mitochondrial and nuclear primers for the Mediterranean marine bivalve Pinna nobilis.
- Sayers EW, Cavanaugh M, Clark K, Pruitt KD, Sherry ST, Yankie L, Karsch-Mizrachi I. 2023. GenBank 2023 update. *Nucleic Acids Research* 51:D141–D144 DOI 10.1093/nar/gkac1012.
- Scarpa F, Sanna D, Azzena I, Mugetti D, Cerruti F, Hosseini S, Cossu P, Pinna S, Grech D, Cabana D, Pasquini V, Esposito G, Cadoni N, Atzori F, Antuofermo E, Addis P, Sechi LA, Prearo M, Peletto S, Mossa MA, Saba T, Gazale V, Casu M. 2020. Multiple non-species-specific pathogens possibly triggered the mass mortality in pinna nobilis. *Life* 10:238 DOI 10.3390/life10100238.
- Sigsgaard EE, Jensen MR, Winkelmann IE, Møller PR, Hansen MM, Thomsen PF. 2020. Population-level inferences from environmental DNA—current status and future perspectives. *Evolutionary Applications* 13:245–262 DOI 10.1111/eva.12882.
- Slynko YuV, Slynko EE, Pirkova AV, Ladygina LV, Ryabushko VI. 2018. Mitochondrial DNA barcoding of the Pacific Oyster *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg, 1793) (Mollusca: Bivalvia: Ostreidae), cultivated in the Black Sea. *Russian Journal of Genetics* 54:1445–1451 DOI 10.1134/S1022795418120153.

- Spalding MD, Fox HE, Allen GR, Davidson N, Ferdaña ZA, Finlayson M, Halpern BS, Jorge MA, Lombana A, Lourie SA, Martin KD, McManus E, Molnar J, Recchia CA, Robertson J. 2007. Marine ecoregions of the world: a bioregionalization of coastal and shelf areas. *BioScience* 57:573–583 DOI 10.1641/B570707.
- **Tempesta M, Ciriaco S, Del Piero D. 2013.** Definition of a new formula for the calculation of the total height of the fan shell *Pinna nobilis* in the Miramare marine protected area (Trieste, Italy). *Annales, Series Historia Naturalis* **23**:17–22.
- Theologidis I, Fodelianakis S, Gaspar MB, Zouros E. 2008. Doubly uniparental inheritance (dui) of mitochondrial Dna in *Donax Trunculus* (bivalvia: Donacidae) and the problem of its sporadic detection in Bivalvia. *Evolution* 62:959–970 DOI 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00329.x.
- Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ. 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Research* 22:4673–4680 DOI 10.1093/nar/22.22.4673.
- Trifinopoulos J, Nguyen L-T, Haeseler Avon, Minh BQ. 2016. W-IQ-TREE: a fast online phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. *Nucleic Acids Research* 44:W232–W235 DOI 10.1093/nar/gkw256.
- **Trigos S, García-March JR, Vicente N, Tena J, Torres J. 2014.** Utilization of muddy detritus as organic matter source by the fan mussel *Pinna nobilis. Mediterranean Marine Science* **15**:667–674 DOI 10.12681/mms.836.
- Vanhaecke D, Leaniz CG de, Gajardo G, Young K, Sanzana J, Orellana G, Fowler D, Howes P, Monzon-Arguello C, Consuegra S. 2012. DNA barcoding and microsatellites help species delimitation and hybrid identification in endangered galaxiid fishes. PLOS ONE 7:e32939 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0032939.

**Venables WN, Ripley BD. 2002.** *Modern applied statistics with S.* New York: Springer.

Wesselmann M, González-Wangüemert M, Serrão EA, Engelen AH, Renault L, García-March JR, Duarte CM, Hendriks IE. 2018. Genetic and oceanographic tools reveal high population connectivity and diversity in the endangered pen shell *Pinna nobilis*. *Scientific Reports* 8:4770 DOI 10.1038/s41598-018-23004-2.

Wickham H. 2016. ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. New York: Springer-Verlag.

- Wilkinson MD, Dumontier M, Aalbersberg IJJ, Appleton G, Axton M, Baak A,
  Blomberg N, Boiten J-W, da Silva Santos LB, Bourne PE, Bouwman J, Brookes AJ,
  Clark T, Crosas M, Dillo I, Dumon O, Edmunds S, Evelo CT, Finkers R, GonzalezBeltran A, Gray AJG, Groth P, Goble C, Grethe JS, Heringa J, Hoen PAC't, Hooft
  R, Kuhn T, Kok R, Kok J, Lusher SJ, Martone ME, Mons A, Packer AL, Persson B,
  Rocca-Serra P, Roos M, van Schaik R, Sansone S-A, Schultes E, Sengstag T, Slater
  T, Strawn G, Swertz MA, Thompson M, van der Lei J, van Mulligen E, Velterop J,
  Waagmeester A, Wittenburg P, Wolstencroft K, Zhao J, Mons B. 2016. The FAIR
  guiding principles for scientific data management and stewardship. *Scientific Data* 3:160018 DOI 10.1038/sdata.2016.18.
- Wood AR, Apte S, MacAvoy ES, Gardner JPA. 2007. A molecular phylogeny of the marine mussel genus Perna (Bivalvia: Mytilidae) based on nuclear (ITS1 & 2)

and mitochondrial (COI) DNA sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **44**:685–698 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2006.12.019.

- Xuereb A, D'Aloia CC, Daigle RM, Andrello M, Dalongeville A, Manel S, Mouillot D, Guichard F, Côté IM, Curtis JMR, Bernatchez L, Fortin M-J. 2020. Marine conservation and marine protected areas. In: Oleksiak MF, Rajora OP, eds. *Population* genomics: marine organisms. Population genomics. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 423–446 DOI 10.1007/13836\_2018\_63.
- Ye YY, Wu CW, Li JJ. 2015. Genetic population structure of macridiscus multifarius (Mollusca: Bivalvia) on the basis of mitochondrial markers: strong population structure in a species with a short planktonic larval stage. *PLOS ONE* 10:e0146260 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0146260.
- **Yu G. 2023.** scatterpie: scatter pie plot. R package version 0.2.1. *Available at https://CRAN. R-project.org/package=scatterpie*.
- **Yu G, Smith DK, Zhu H, Guan Y, Lam TT-Y. 2017.** ggtree: an r package for visualization and annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **8**:28–36 DOI 10.1111/2041-210X.12628.
- **Yuan T, He M, Huang L. 2009.** Intraspecific genetic variation in mitochondrial 16S rRNA and COI genes in domestic and wild populations of Huaguizhikong scallop Chlamys nobilis Reeve. *Aquaculture* **289**:19–25 DOI 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2009.01.004.
- Zitari-Chatti R, Chatti N, Fulgione D, Caiazza I, Aprea G, Elouaer A, Said K, Capriglione T. 2009. Mitochondrial DNA variation in the caramote prawn Penaeus (Melicertus) kerathurus across a transition zone in the Mediterranean Sea. *Genetica* 136:439–447 DOI 10.1007/s10709-008-9344-9.
- **Zouros E. 2013.** Biparental inheritance through uniparental transmission: the Doubly Uniparental Inheritance (DUI) of Mitochondrial DNA. *Evolutionary Biology* **40**:1–31 DOI 10.1007/s11692-012-9195-2.