

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# General and Comparative Endocrinology



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ygcen

## Research paper

# MicroRNAs are involved in ovarian physiology of greater amberjack (*Seriola dumerili*) under captivity

Maria Papadaki<sup>a,b</sup>, C.C. Mylonas<sup>a</sup>, Elena Sarropoulou<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Hellenic Center for Marine Research, P.O. Box 2214, Heraklion, Crete 71003, Greece
 <sup>b</sup> Biology Department, University of Crete, P.O. Box 2208, Heraklion, Crete 70013, Greece

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords: miRNA Reproduction Teleost Greater amberjack Ovarian dysfunction Expression Regulation Epigenetics

#### ABSTRACT

Gonad maturation is critical for the reproductive success of any organism, and in fish, captivity can significantly affect their reproductive performance, leading to maturation incompetence and spawning failure. The greater amberjack (Seriola dumerili), a fish species recently introduced to aquaculture fails to undergo oocyte maturation, ovulation, and spawning when reared in aquaculture facilities. Since confinement has been shown to influence gonad maturation and completion of the reproductive cycle, investigations into epigenetic mechanisms may shed light on the reasoning behind the reproductive dysfunctions of fish under captivity. Among the known important epigenetic regulators are small non-coding RNAs (sncRNAs), and in particular microRNAs (miRNAs). In this study, immature, maturing (late vitellogenesis), and spent ovaries of captive greater amberjack were collected, and the differential expression of miRNAs in the three different ovarian development stages was examined. Expression patterns of conserved and novel miRNAs were identified, and potential targets of highly differentially expressed miRNAs were detected. Additionally, read length distribution showed two prominent peaks in the three different ovarian maturation stages, corresponding to miRNAs and putative piwi-interacting RNAs (piR-NAs), another type of ncRNAs with a germ-cell specific role. Furthermore, miRNA expression patterns and their putative target mRNAs are discussed, in relevance with the different ovarian maturation stages of captive greater amberjack. Overall, this study provides insights into the role of miRNAs in the reproductive dysfunctions observed in fish under captivity and highlights the importance of epigenetic mechanisms in understanding and managing the reproductive performance of economically important fish species.

#### 1. Introduction

Gonad maturation is a complex process that involves the development and differentiation of germ cells and the synthesis of hormones that regulate reproductive function. In males, gonad maturation involves the development of testes and the production of spermatozoa, while in females, it comprises the development of ovaries and the production of mature oocytes. In teleost fish, reproduction is a seasonal process, during which environmental cues, such as temperature and photoperiod, act on the pituitary to induce the production of gonadotropins, follicle-stimulating hormone (Fsh), and luteinizing hormone (Lh), which in turn act on the gonads to induce the production of sex steroids (Yaron and Levavi-Sivan, 2011) and initiate gametogenesis and maturation. In captivity, fish of both sexes may exhibit reproductive dysfunctions (Mylonas and Zohar, 2001). One of the newly introduced species in aquaculture with reproductive dysfunctions is the greater amberjack (*Seriola dumerili*), a popular marine fish species of the Mediterranean region. Recent advances in the control of greater amberjack reproduction under captivity as well as improvements in acclimatization to captivity conditions (Fakriadis et al., 2019; Fakriadis et al., 2020a; Fakriadis et al., 2020b), have led to several achievements in its spawning performance, such as induced spawning with the use of gonadotropinreleasing hormone agonist (GnRHa) implants after cage-to-tank transfer of wild-caught captive individuals (Fakriadis et al., 2020b), and induced spawning of F1 generation fish (Jerez et al., 2018). Yet, despite these advancements in the control of the reproductive cycle of greater amberjack, captive females continue to exhibit incomplete vitellogenesis, failing to mature and ovulate, mainly when reared in tanks. Wildcaught captive females have been shown to exhibit lower estradiol (E2) and testosterone (T) levels, lower gonadosomatic index (GSI), and

E-mail address: sarris@hcmr.gr (E. Sarropoulou).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2024.114581

Received 30 December 2023; Received in revised form 28 June 2024; Accepted 10 July 2024 Available online 14 July 2024 0016-6480/© 2024 Elsevier Inc. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Institute of Marine Biology, Biotechnology and Aquaculture, Hellenic Centre for Marine Research Crete, Thalassocosmos, Gournes Pediados, P.O. Box 2214, 71003 Heraklion, Crete, Greece.

#### M. Papadaki et al.

extensive atresia of late vitellogenic oocytes, compared to wild fish (Zupa et al., 2017).

Since a variety of abiotic factors ranging from water quality, temperature, photoperiod, and diet can impact the reproductive success of fish in captivity, investigations into epigenetic mechanisms may shed light on the reasoning behind the observed dysfunctions of fish under captivity. Among important epigenetic regulators are small non-coding RNAs (sncRNAs), and particularly microRNAs (miRNAs), which are noncoding RNA molecules with an average length of 22 nucleotides that affect gene expression at the post-transcriptional level (Bartel, 2004). They are phylogenetically highly conserved, and hundreds of conserved genes encoding miRNAs have been found in various organisms (Ambros, 2004; Dexheimer and Cochella, 2020; Liu et al., 2008). Concerning teleosts, there is an increasing number of scientific articles reviewing the role of miRNAs in a broad range of physiological processes (Bhat et al., 2020; Bizuayehu and Babiak, 2014; Desvignes et al., 2021; Herkenhoff et al., 2018; Rasal et al., 2016; Takacs and Giraldez, 2010), including various aspects of gonadal development and function, such as sex determination, germ cell proliferation and differentiation, and steroidogenesis (Sarropoulou and Fernandez, 2023). One of the most prominent examples, is the gonad-specific miR-202, which has been shown to exhibit higher expression in vitellogenic ovaries of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) (Juanchich et al., 2013) and the knockout of its gene has led to reduced fertility in the medaka Oryzias latipes (Gay et al., 2018). Another miRNA with an important ovarian function is miR-125b, which has been shown to putatively target the follicle-stimulating hormone (Fsh) receptor gene in the sharpsnout seabream Diplodus puntazzo (Papadaki et al., 2020). Differential expression of miRNAs during oocyte development and maturation has been investigated in several species (Juanchich et al., 2013; Lan et al., 2019; Papadaki et al., 2020; Presslauer et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017). Recently, circulating miRNAs have also been shown to be differentially expressed during the reproductive cycle in rainbow trout (Cardona et al., 2021) and for sex determination in the greater amberjack (Deng et al., 2023). The aim of the present study was to identify putative miRNAs with important roles in the reproductive dysfunctions faced by greater amberjack during captivity and detect putative targets that lead to the prevention of oocyte maturation, ovulation, and spawning. The results of the present study may also be of great relevance to other farmed fish species exhibiting reproductive disorders.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Ejthics approval

Ethical approval for the study was obtained by the relevant Greek authorities (National Veterinary Services) under license No 255356 (A $\Delta$ A: 6 $\Lambda$ 4 $\Sigma$ 7 $\Lambda$ K- $\Omega$ MY). All procedures involving animals were conducted following the "Guidelines for the treatment of animals in behavioral research and teaching" (Anonymous, 1998), the Ethical Justification for the use and treatment of fishes in research: An update, and the "Directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament and the Council of 22 September 2010 on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes" (EU, 2010).

#### 2.2. Fish sampling

Fish used in the present study were sampled according to their histological phenotypes and categorized into: "immature" (Im) fish which were 1-year-old captive individuals sampled in June 2018 in an experiment following the sex differentiation process of a greater amberjack stock produced in a registered fish farm (Papadaki et al., 2021). The second and the third groups were classified as "vitellogenic" (Vg) and "spent" (Sp), respectively, and consisted of captive individuals kept in a registered fish farm and sampled in the middle and at the end of the spawning season of the species in 2015 (Zupa et al., 2017). During the samplings, fish were captured from the cages, anesthetized with clove oil or phenoxy-ethanol, and sacrificed for gonad dissection. Gonads of Im fish were excised after anesthesia with clove oil, whereas Vg and Sp gonads were excised after anesthetization in phenoxy-ethanol, according to the respective papers (Papadaki et al., 2021; Zupa et al., 2017). Four ovarian samples were collected from each group (Im-1, Im-2, Im-3 and Im-4, Vg-1, Vg-2, Vg-3, Vg-4, Sp-1, Sp-2, Sp-3, and Sp4), one portion of the gonad was kept in 4 % formaldehyde: 1 % glutaraldehyde (McDowell and Trump, 1976) for histological processing, and another one was submerged in RNAlater (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) and transferred to -80 °C until RNA extraction.

#### 2.3. Histology

For histological processing, ovaries were dehydrated in a 70–95 % ethanol series and embedded in glycol methacrylate resin (Technovit 7100, Heraeus Kulzer, Germany). Serial sections were obtained at 3–5  $\mu$ m thickness on a microtome (Leica RM2245, Germany). Staining of the sections was performed with methylene blue/azure II/basic fuchsin (Bennett et al., 1976) and stained slides were examined under a light microscope (Nikon Eclipse 50i, Japan).

#### 2.4. RNA extraction and evaluation

Total RNA extraction of all gonad samples was carried out by disrupting 30 mg in liquid nitrogen with mortar and pestle followed by sample homogenization by passing the lysate five times through a 23gauge (0.64 mm) needle. Homogenized samples were further processed by applying the Nucleospin miRNA kit (MachereyNagel, Duren, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. The RNA quantity was estimated with a Nano-Drop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Nano-Drop Technologies, Wilmington, DE), and the quality was evaluated by 1 % agarose gel electrophoresis as well as by RNA Pico Bioanalysis chip (Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California, USA) (Supp. Table S1).

#### 2.5. sncRNA libraries construction and sequencing

sncRNA libraries were generated by applying the NEBnext multiplex Small RNA Library Preparation kit for Illumina sequencing (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA). The protocol for greater amberjack gonads was optimized according to the manufacturer's instructions by using 1  $\mu$ g total RNA and 13 PCR cycles. Size fraction was carried out running a polyacrylamide gel (6 % TBE gel, Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) at 4 °C for 1 h. Each sample was tagged with a different multiplex identifier tag provided by NEB. The generated sncRNA libraries were evaluated by DNA high-sensitivity chips (Bioanalyzer, Agilent) and quantified by Qubit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) as well as by the DNA high-sensitivity chip measurements. Differentially indexed libraries were pooled at a concentration of 4 nM and single-strand sequenced over 4 lanes and 55 cycles on the Illumina NextSeq500 sequencing platform at the Genomics Facility of the Institute of Molecular Biology & Biotechnology, Forth, Crete, Greece.

#### 2.6. Sequencing reads analysis

All reads were submitted to quality control using the open-source Fastqc v0.10.0 software (https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac. uk/projects/fastqc). Quality and adapter trimming was carried out using Trimmomatic software 0.30 (Bolger et al., 2014) and imported into the CLC genomics Workbench (v10.1). Putative sncRNAs were further extracted, and all reads were counted accordingly. The minimum sampling count (the number of copies of the raw sncRNAs reads included in the resulting count table) was set to 5. To annotate putative miRNAs, obtained sequencing reads were mapped against the database miRbase (release 21.1) (Griffiths-Jones et al., 2008) available miRNAs of

teleosts and human, gorilla, and mouse. These were prioritized as follows, Astatotilapia burtoni, Oryzias latipes, Tetraodon nigroviridis, Fugu rubripes, Danio rerio, Cyprinus carpio, Gadus morhua, Hippoglossus hippoglossus, Paralichthys olivaceus, Ictalurus punctatus, Salmo salar, Petromyzon marinus, Gorilla gorilla, Homo sapiens, and Mus musculus as well as against the three-spined stickleback (Gasterosteus\_aculeatus) sncRNA database Gasterosteus aculeatus.BROADS1.ncrna. Subsequently, the merging of variants of the same miRNAs was performed, which resulted in a list of "sampled grouped transcripts with the corresponding read count. Not annotated transcripts were extracted with read counts > 20in each of the samples. For further downstream analysis, total read counts of all unannotated samples higher than 500 were used. To determine putative novel miRNAs mirDeep2 (version 2.0.1.3) software program was applied with default parameters and the genome sequence of the greater amberjack, (Seriola dumerili.Sdu 1.0,) available at the ENSEMBL database.

#### 2.7. Differential expression analysis

Differential expression analysis as well as the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of annotated and unannotated transcripts was assessed by DeSeq2 implemented in SarTools vs 1.2.0 (Varet et al., 2016) and carried out in R [R Core Team 2017] with default parameters. Transcripts with padj.<0.05 and log2fold change (log2FC) >|1| were considered as differentially expressed.

# 2.8. Identification of target mRNAs of the most abundant differentially expressed miRNAs

For the most abundant, differentially expressed, and characterized miRNAs from each gonadal maturation stage, putative targets were identified. Therefore, the greater amberjack 3'UTRs were retrieved from the Ensembl database, and the hybridization dynamics were assessed by applying RNAhybrid, version 2.12 (Kruger and Rehmsmeier, 2006), with the energy threshold set to mfe  $\leq$  - 20. Furthermore, only 3'UTRs with perfect complementary base-pairing to the miRNA seed region (base pairs 2-7) were taken into consideration. Identified targets that are involved in i) ovary formation, ii) ovarian maturation, iii) ovarian steroidogenesis, iv) apoptosis, and v) autophagy according to already published data (Aranyakanont et al., 2020; Bogoch et al., 2022; Dechaud et al., 2021; Fabra and Cerda, 2004; Gao et al., 2022; Ge et al., 2017; Guzman et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2022; Luckenbach et al., 2008; Nyuji et al., 2020; Nzioka et al., 2023; Parajes et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2022; Wu et al., 2018; Yamamoto et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2022; Yokokawa et al., 2023) were further investigated.

#### 2.9. Enrichment analysis

Enrichment analysis was carried out for identified miRNA targets involved in reproduction, steroidogenesis, and apoptosis. Identified miRNA targets were further submitted to AmiGO2 (https://amigo.geneo ntology.org/) gene ontology database and the GO classes belonging to the category "biological process" were selected (FDR P<0.05). A data matrix was generated by counting the number of miRNAs, assigned to each of the GO classes. For each GO class, the frequency of enriched miRNAs in Im, Vg, and Sp was calculated.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Histology

Histological analysis showed that the ovaries of the group classified as immature (Im) were truly immature containing mainly oogonia and primary oocytes (Fig. 1a). Ovaries of the group classified as vitellogenic (Vg) exhibited, as expected, primary oocytes, cortical alveoli oocytes, vitellogenic oocytes, and atretic oocytes (Fig. 1b). At the end of the spawning season, sampled ovaries were in a regressed state and were classified as Spent (Sp), containing mostly primary and cortical alveoli oocytes and signs of atresia (Fig. 1c).

#### 3.2. sncRNA data generation

After quality and adaptor trimming, each sample had an average of around 11.5, 16, and 12 million reads for Im, Vg, and Sp respectively (Suppl. Table S2). Plotting the percentage of obtained read length showed two main peaks in the three different ovarian maturation stages, one at 19-24 nt, which corresponds to the read length of miRNAs, and one at 25–30 nt, pinpointing to putative piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs) (Fig. 2a). Regarding sncRNAs expression patterns PCA analysis separated the three different ovarian maturation stages into three distinct groups with the Vg stage being closer to the Sp than to the Im stage (Fig. 2b). Annotation of obtained sncRNA transcripts revealed six different types of sncRNA [miRNA, small nuclear RNA (snRNA), small nucleolar (snoRNA), ribosomal (rRNA), ribosomal RNA gene, mitochondrial (mtRNA)] as well as 12,328 unique sncRNA which were not annotated named as "unknown" within the present work (Fig. 3a). The read length distribution of the unknown transcripts illustrated in Fig. 3b showed a relatively higher amount of reads between 25 and 30 nt (Fig. 3b).

#### 3.3. Differential expression analysis

Reads annotated as miRNA and with a significant differential expression threshold of padj. < 0.05 and log2FC > |1| revealed a total of 198 comparing Im versus Vg (45 miRNAs depleted and 153 enriched at the immature stage). 196 comparing Im versus Sp (25 miRNAs depleted and 171 enriched at the immature stage), and 39 comparing Vg versus Sp (18 miRNAs depleted and 21 enriched at the vitellogenic stage) (Supp. Table S3). The number of common and stage-specific differentially expressed miRNAs is illustrated by a proportional Venn diagram



**Fig. 1.** Histological sections of ovaries from captive greater amberjack. a. Ovary of the Im group, collected from immature 1-year-old fish, showing mostly oogonia (og) and primary oocytes (po). b. Ovary of the Vg group, collected in the middle of the spawning season of captive wild individuals with po, cortical alveoli (ca), vitellogenic (Vg), and some attetic (atr) oocytes. c. Ovary of the Sp group, collected at the end of the spawning season of captive wild individuals, showing po, ca, and atr oocytes. The scale bars indicate 100 µm (A), and 500 µm (B and C).



**Fig. 2.** a. Read length distribution of small RNA sequences of different developmental stage ovaries of greater amberjack. Colors indicate the ovarian development stage; Yellow: immature ovary (Im), orange: vitellogenic ovary (Vg), purple: spent ovary (Sp). b. PCA analysis of sncRNAs of immature (Im-1, Im-2, Im-3 and Im-4), vitellogenic (Vg-1, Vg-2, Vg-3 and Vg-4) and spent (Sp-1, Sp-2, Sp-3 and Sp-4) ovaries of greater amberjack. The first principal component (PC1) is anticipated to separate samples from the different biological conditions. The first two components of the PCA, with percentages of variance associated with each axis are shown. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

(Fig. 4). Differentially expressed miRNAs found to be common between the comparisons Im vs Sp and Vg vs Sp amounted to 8 miRNAs and between the comparisons Im vs Vg and Im vs Sp amounted to 119 miRNAs including two novel miRNAs (SD\_99906 and SD\_73346). Among the three comparisons, only one miRNA was found to be differentially expressed, namely miR-100a-1 (Salmo salar), and some of its targets related to reproduction have been identified (Supp. Table S4). Three members of the same miRNA family, namely miR-100 (Cyprinus carpio), miR-100-1 (Astatotilapia burtoni), and miR-100-2 (Astatotilapia burtoni) were common in the comparisons Im vs Vg and Im vs Sp (Fig. 5a and b) and two, namely miR-21-1 (Gadus morhua) and miR-21-2 (Gadus morhua) were the same in the comparisons Im vs Sp and Vg vs Sp (Fig. 5 b and c). After identifying possible miRNA targets involved in reproduction, steroidogenesis, and apoptosis (Supp. Table S5), different GO terms were assigned to the miRNA targets. In all the GO terms examined, Im gonads exhibited the highest number of enriched miRNAs compared to Vg and Sp ovaries (Fig. 6). Differentially expressed miRNAs targeting potential molecular marker genes in apoptosis during follicular atresia



**Fig. 3.** a. Distribution of obtained small non-coding RNAs according to their transcript types found in immature (dark yellow), vitellogenic (orange), and spent (purple) gonad libraries of greater amberjack. miRNA: microRNA, snRNA: small nuclear RNA, snoRNA: small nucleolar RNA, rRNA; ribosomal RNA, rRNA gene: ribosomal RNA gene, mtRNA: mitochondrial RNA, miscRNA: miscellaneous RNA. b. Read length distribution of unknown transcripts from immature, vitellogenic, and spent ovaries of greater amberjack. The typical read length of miRNAs and is represented by a red circle in the figure. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

identified by Gonzales-Kother et al., 2020 are illustrated in Fig. 7 in form of miRNA-mRNA hybrids.

#### 3.4. Data access

The raw data has been submitted to the NCBI SRA database under the BioProject accession number PRJNA998261.

#### 4. Discussion

Fish may display reproductive dysfunctions in captivity, which range from inconsistent spawning, failure to undergo oocyte maturation and ovulation, and incomplete oogenesis (Mylonas and Zohar, 2001). Therefore, in the present study, the focus was given to three female gonad maturation phenotypes, i.e. immature (Im), maturing (i.e. late vitellogenic) (Vg), and spent (Sp) ovary. The Vg and Sp gonads exhibited advanced atresia and signs of regression, which was recently shown to be characteristic of captive fish along with reduced gonadosomatic index and sex steroid hormone plasma levels (Zupa et al., 2017), while the Im gonad comprised, as expected, oogonia and primary oocytes.

This study aimed to compare the miRNA profiles of three different maturation phenotypes of the greater amberjack. Over the past few decades, research has demonstrated the existence of specific miRNA signatures associated with particular phenotypes. This indicates that miRNA profiles originating from the same phenotype will exhibit a similar set of differentially expressed miRNAs, even when the samples' origin and genetic background differ (Bizuayehu et al., 2015; Bovolenta et al., 2020; Flynt et al., 2009; Goodale et al., 2019; Yan et al., 2012). One of the objectives of the present study was to identify microRNAs (miRNAs) that are uniquely expressed in the immature gonads of fish



Fig. 4. Venn diagram of differentially expressed miRNAs among immature, vitellogenic, and spent ovaries of greater amberjack. One common miRNA was found to be differentially expressed among the three different reproductive development stages: miR-100a-1 (*Salmo salar*).

species that fail to undergo gonadal maturation. Therefore, the samples of the immature gonads were of different origin than the vitellogenic and spent samples, representing, however, the phenotype of the immature gonad of greater amberjack under captivity. For all samples under study, we achieved to obtain over 10 million reads per sample, which has been reported to be a reliable sequencing depth for differential expression analysis (Liu et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2014). A higher sequencing depth will undoubtedly yield more informative reads, thereby increasing the statistical power to detect differential expression for miRNAs with lower expression levels. Conversely, miRNAs with very low expression levels are unlikely to lead to gene repression and are therefore not a focus of this study. The read length distribution revealed two main peaks, as expected for the three ovarian stages. The first peak corresponded to miRNAs, while the second most probably corresponded to putative piRNAs. PiRNAs are another type of non-coding RNA with a germ-cell-specific role (Houwing et al., 2007). These two peaks have been observed in sncRNAseq data of gonads in several different fish species (Gu et al., 2014; Houwing et al., 2007; Jing et al., 2014; Li and Ge, 2020; Papadaki et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2022; Wei et al., 2022; Xiao et al., 2014). Whereas, in both male and female primordial gonads (i.e. undifferentiated gonads) of the tiger pufferfish Takifugu rubripes, only one peak was observed at 22 nt (Yan et al., 2021), in adult gonads of the same species, both peaks were shown (Wongwarangkana et al., 2015). In the common carp (Cyprinus carpio), the second peak is apparent in juvenile and adult gonads, but less dominant in primordial gonads (Wang et al., 2017). This suggests a possible role of piRNAs at later ovarian maturation stages. However, this study focused on the detection of miRNAs and putative novel miRNAs in the greater amberjack gonads. PCA analysis of differentially expressed miRNAs distinguished clearly the three ovarian maturation stages with Vg and Sp ovaries being closer located to each other with similar PC1 values, than to Im ovaries. The groups Vg and Sp are representative of the dysfunctional gonad while the Im stage refers to the developing gonad. This difference is also reflected in the number of miRNAs that are higher expressed in the different comparisons between gonadal types of the present study. Besides, more miRNAs were found to be higher expressed in the Im stage compared to the Vg and Sp stages targeting genes involved in apoptosis, autophagy, regulation of steroidogenesis and steroid metabolism, as well as cell division and reproduction. This highlights the high activity of this stage and its importance in ovarian development and maturation. The significance of this stage was similarly emphasised in the case of the Japanese flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus) (Ou et al., 2022), where the number of differentially expressed genes was also found to be higher in the primary oocytes, as was the number of higher expressed miRNAs in the present study.

Three highly expressed miRNAs identified within the present study in the greater amberjack gonads were miR-100a-1, miR-202, and miR-21. Of these, miR-100a-1 was found to be enriched in Im compared to



## Total normalized reads

Fig. 5. Total read counts in all the samples of the ten most abundant differentially expressed miRNAs in the three different greater amberjack ovarian development stage comparisons, between immature and vitellogenic ovaries (a), between immature and spent ovaries (b) and between vitellogenic and spent ovaries (c).

both Vg and Sp stages. Notably, miR-100a-1 was the only miRNA differentially expressed in all three comparisons among the different ovarian development stages. MiR-100 is known to be one of the most abundant miRNAs in fish gonads with important roles in apoptosis, steroidogenesis, and oogenesis (Jing et al., 2014; Tao et al., 2016). In the present study, putative miR-100a-1 targets genes were identified involved in apoptosis, steroidogenesis, and oogenesis, i.e. pdcd4b, evala, ambralb, hsd17b4, hsd17b12b, map4k4, map2k5, mapk13, mapk9, cdc25b, sox19b, ctsa, bnip2, il13ra2. The second miRNA, miR-202 has also been detected as a gonad-specific miRNA and is commonly found in high abundance in the ovaries of different fish species (Bouchareb et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2012; Tao et al., 2016; Wongwarangkana et al., 2015; Zayed et al., 2019). In the present study, miR-202 was found to be in higher abundance in Im compared to Sp gonads, which may indicate a leading role in early oogenesis, as it has been also shown in the medaka (Gay et al., 2018). The third highly abundant miRNA, miR-21, has been identified as being particularly enriched in the Im and Vg gonads, in comparison to the Sp stage. Abundant expression of miR-21 has also been reported in primordial gonads of tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) (Tao et al., 2016) and of

MiRNA

common carp (Wang et al., 2017). To note, that in mice, miR-21 has been found to block apoptosis in granulosa cells (Carletti et al., 2010). Apoptosis-related genes putatively targeted by miR-21 in the present study involved eva1a, api5, boka, casp9, pdcd4b, klf6, ctsd, cdc25b, and pcna.

Another known apoptosis-related miRNA, let-7g, was found to be enriched in Vg compared to Sp ovaries. In porcine it has been suggested that let-7g represses MAP3K1 and thus induces apoptosis (Cao et al., 2015). In the present study, putative targets of let-7g involve apoptosisrelated genes, i.e eva1a, ambra1b, bokb, bnip2, and casp9 and thus let-7g, in this case, would repress apoptosis in the Vg stage like miR-21. Taken together, let-7g, miR-21, and miR-100a-1 could serve as potential apoptosis biomarkers in spent ovaries of the present study. One miRNA that also may be a significant biomarker, but has not yet been detected is in the Ensembl database as miRNA annotated transcript (ENSGACT00000029268.1). The latter has been detected to be highly expressed in Sp and Vg but not in Im. It should be noted, that the impact of miRNAs on gene regulation has been demonstrated to be more complex than previously thought. In addition to the previously identified effects, miRNAs may also show cooperative effects, whereby the



**Fig. 6.** Bubble plot displaying the enriched GO terms (FDR P < 0.05) identified for the targets of the enriched miRNAs of immature (Im), vitellogenic (Vg), and spent (Sp) gonads of greater amberjack. Identified targets involved in i) ovary formation, ii) ovarian maturation, iii) ovarian steroidogenesis, iv) apoptosis, and v) autophagy were used and a GO term was assigned to each one of them. The color and the size of the circle indicate the number and the percentage of enriched miRNAs for each GO term, respectively.

number, distance, and distribution of miRNA binding sites with the 3'UTR may influence the actual gene regulation (Diener et al., 2023). In the present study, four binding sites for the apoptosis and atresiaresponsible gene programmed cell death 4a (pcd4a) were identified, as well as four binding sites for the gene Kruppel-like factor 6, including two novel miRNAs (SD\_9906 and SD\_73346) (Fig. 7). In the case of pcd4a, the novel miRNA is found in higher abundance in the Vg and Sp stages compared to the Im stage. This would indicate the repression of pcd4a. However, the other three miRNAs were found to be enriched in the Im stage, suggesting that they are repressing the pcd4a gene. Concerning the Kruppel-like factor 6 gene, the novel miRNA SD\_73346 and miR-2016b are enriched in the Vg and Sp stages, while the miR21-1/-2 and miR-202 are enriched in the Im stage compared to the Sp stage. Further research is required to fully comprehend the underlying mechanisms and their implications for gene regulation in different biological contexts.

Overall, the study offers valuable insights into the role of miRNAs in ovarian development and maturation in the greater amberjack. It also suggests that miRNAs may be a useful tool for understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying reproductive dysfunctions in captive fish. Further research is needed to fully understand the functional roles of these miRNAs and their potential for non-lethal applications in diagnosis and improving the health and well-being of captive fish.

caspase 3 - miR-17-1/miR-17-2         target 5' C       AAA       AU       A3'         uG       GUGACAUU       GUGAAAC         miRNA 3' A       GAC       C       5'         caspase 8 - miR-17-1/miR-17-2       target 5' U       CC3'         target 5' U       CCCUGCAC       CAUUU         GGACGUG       GUGAAA       GUGAAA         miRNA 3' AU       ACAUU       CS'         curget 5' U       CCCCACUUU       GGACGUG       GUGAAA         miRNA 3' AU       ACAUU       CS'       miR-17-1/-/-2/-3       miR-26a-1/-/-2/-3         postion 91       miR-462       miR-17-1/-/-2/-3       postion 1244         postion 92       Larget 5' U       GeGaUCUUUGU       A3'         ACU ACU CCGUAUCG       GGACCUG       GeGaUCUUUCU       A3'         MRM 3'U GUUAA A       U'S       miRNA 3'UC GU AUA       A''       AGUUG CCUUUC AUGAA         S'			
target S' C       AAA       AJ'       AJ'         AC       UACUGUAA       CACUUUG       AJ'         AC       UACUGUAA       CACUUUG       GUGACAUU         GUGACAUU       GUGACAUU       C       S'         I caspase 8- miR:17-1/miR:17-2       target S' U       CCCAUCUU       C3'         GGACGUG       GUGACAUU       C3'       CCCUGAC       CACUUU         GGACGUG       GUGAAA       miRNA 3'AU       ACAUU       CS'         programmed cell death 4a       SD_99906_BDQW01000309.1       miR-462       miR-17-1/-/-/-/-/-/-/-/-/-/-/-/-/-/-/-/-/-/	□ casnase3 - miR-17-1/miR-17-2		
Image 5 / AC       UACUGUAA CACUUUG G         UG GUGCACAUU GUGAAAC       miRNA 3'A GAC       C         Image 5 / UACUGUU C       C       S'         Image 5 / UACUGUU C       CCCAUUU       C3'         CCUGCAC CACUUU       C3'       CCUGCAC CACUUU         GGACGUG GUGAAA       GUGAAA       miR-17-1/-/-/-/-/-/-/-/-/-/-/-/-/-/-/-/-/-/	target 5' C AAA AII A 3'		
UG     GUGACAUU     GUGAAAC       miRNA 3'A     GAC     C     S'       □     caspase8-miR-17-1/miR-17-2     target 5' U     CCUGAC       CCUGAC     CACUUU     GGACGUG     GUGAAA       miRNA 3'AU     ACAUU     CS'       □     programmed cell death 4a       SD_99906_BDQW01000309.1     miR-462     miR-17-1/-/-2/-3     miR-26a-1/-/-2/-3       position 91     Au     ACUUE     Geacuucuu     As'' position 758     Au     As''       position 91     Au     Geacuucuu     As'' position 758     Au     As''     Acuuu CC       miRNA 2' AU     CCGUUUC     Geacuucuuu     As'' position 1244     Acuuu CC     August CCUUUC       miRNA 2' AU     CCGUUUCUU     Geacuucuuu     August CCUUUC     Acuuu CC     August CCUUUC       S'			
miRNA 3'A GAC       C       S'         claspase8-miR-17-1/miR-17-2       target 5' U       CCCGCAC       CAUUU         GGACGUG       GUGAAA       miRNA 3' AU       ACAUU       C S'         programmed cell death 4a       SD_99906_BDQW01000309.1       miR-462       miR-17-1/-/-2/-3       miR-26a-1/-/-2/-3         position 31       target 5' U       CCGUAUCU       C S'       CU GGAC       AGUUG CUUUC       CCGUUCGAC         usaget 5' C       Au       usaget 5' U       GCA AU       A''       miR-3''       Desition 124         usaget 5' C       Au       usaget 5'' U       GCA AU       A''       Desition 124       target 5''U       AGUUG CUUUC CUUC         usaget 5''C       Au       usaget 5''U       GCA AU       A''       Desition 124       target 5''U       AGUUG CUUUC CUUC AUGUACC         usaget 5''C       Au       usaget 5''U       GCA AU       A''       Desition 234       Desition 24       GCGUUG AUGUUG CUUC AUGUACCUUC S''       MiRN 3''U       AGUUGAU UG A A''       Desition 537         target 5'       AuAAUC G       A''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''			
Immed S A OLC     C     S       Immed S A OLC     S     Immed S A OLC       Immed S A OLU     A OLU     C       Immed S A OLU     A OLU     C       Immed S A OLU     A OLU     C       Immed S A OLU     A OLU     A OLU       Immed S A OLU     A	miRNA 2'A GAC C 5'		
caspase 8 - miR-17-1/miR-17-2         target 5' U       CCCAUCUU       C3'         gGACGUG GUGGAC       CACUUU         GGACGUG GUGGAC       GUGAAA         miRNA 3' AU       ACAUU       C5'         programmed cell death 4a       SD_99906_BDQW01000309.1       miR-462       miR-17-1/-/-2/-3       miR-26a-1/-/-2/-3         position 91       position 593       target 5' U       GCA AU       A3'       position 124         target 5' U       GGA GGA UGG GGCAUGGC       CGU CCA U       A3'       position 124       target 5' U       GCA AU       A3'         target 5' U       GA CUUGGAU COULUGU       GGU CCA U       A3'       position 124       target 5' U       GCA AU       A3'         starget 5' U       GCA CUU GU GGCAUUCUGUU       GCUC UCA U GUGAUCC       GAU ACUUGAUUGAUUG GAU ACUUGAU       GAU ACUUGAUUGAUUG GAU ACUUGAU       GAUAUUGAUUGAUUG GAU ACUUGAUUGAUUG GAUAUUGAUUG       GUCAUU GUGAUACC       GAUAUUGAUUGAUUGAUUGAUUGAUUGAUUGAUUGU       GUCAUU GUGUAUAUUGUU GAUUGAUUGUU GAUUGAUUGUU GAUUGAUU			
target 5' U       CC2 CAUCUU       C3'         CCUGGAC       CACUUU       GGACGUG       GUGAAA         miRNA 3' AU       ACAUU       C5'         programmed cell death 4a       SD_99906_BDQW01000309.1       miR-462       miR-17.1/-/-2/-3       miR-26a-1/-/-2/-3         position 91       position 593       target 5' U       GCA AU       A3'       position 1244         target 5' C       AGU GGGAAGGAC       GGA CGUGG       GGA CGUGGACC       GGA AU       A3'       target 5' U       AGU AGU GGGUAAC GGAU ACUUGG         used s0' colume       GGA CAU GG       GGCAAUGAC       GGCAAUGAC       GGCAAUGAC       GGA AU       A3'       target 5'' U       AGU AGU GGUAAC GCUUGC       AGU GGUAAC GCUUGC       GGA AU       A3''       target 5'' U       AGU AGU GGUAAC GCUUGC       GGA AU       AGU GGUAAG CCUUGAAU       GGGUAGGAC       MIRNA 3'U GUUAA       A GA A       A GA A       GGUAGGAC       GGUAGGAC       GGUAGGAC       MIRNA 3'U GUUAA       A GA A       GGUAGGAC	□ caspase 8 - miR-17-1/miR-17-2		
CCUGCAC       CACUUU       GGACGUG       GUGAAA         miRNA 3' AU       ACAUU       C 5'         programmed cell death 4a         SD_99906_BDQW010000309.1       miR-462       miR-17-1/-/2-/-3       miR-26a-1/-/2/-3         programmed cell death 4a         SD_99906_BDQW010000309.1       miR-462       miR-17-1/-/2-/-3       miR-26a-1/-/2/-3         position 91       target 5' U GU CCA U       A3'       position 758       A3'         ACU       ACG       GGACGUG       GGAUCUULC       farget 5' U GUAAA       A3'         miRN 3' U GUAAA       GGAUCUULAA       GGAUCUULAA       GGAUCUULAA       aget 5' U GUAAA       farget 5' U GUAAAA       farget 5' U GUAAAA       farget 5' U GUAAA       farget 5' U GUAAAA       farget 5' U GUAAAA       farget 5' U GUAAA       farget 5' U GUAAA       far	target 5' U CCCAUCUU C 3'		
GGACGUG         GUGAAA miRNA 3'AU         C 5'           programmed cell death 4a         SD_99906_BDQW01000309.1         miR-462         miR-17.1/-/-2/-3         miR-26a-1/-/-2/-3           position 91 target 5' UGAUGG GGUAGA ACU UGG UG GGUAGAG ACU UGG UGGAUGG GGUAGUGG ACU UGGUAGGAU ACU UGGUAGGAU ACU UGGAU ACU UGGUAGG CCU UGGUGGAU GGUUGGAU MIRNA 3'U GUUAA A         u3'         position 593 target 5' UGAUGG ACU ACU UGG ACU UGGUAGG CCU UGGU GGU GGUUGGAU ACU UGGUAGG CCU UGGUGGAU GGUUGGAU MIRNA 3'U GUUAA A         position 124 target 5' UGAUGG ACU ACU UGGUAGC ACU UGGUAGG CCU UGGUAGGAU ACU UGGUACC MIRNA 3'U GUUAA A         position 124 target 5' UGAUGGAU ACU UGGUAGG CCU UGGUAGCC MIRNA 3'U GUUAA A         position 124 target 5' UGUAACU ACU CCGUAUUGG ACU UGGUAGG CCU UGGUAGCC MIRNA 3'U GUUAA         position 124 target 5' UGUAACU ACU CCGUAUUGGUA AGCU CCU UGGUAGGAU UUGGUAA CCU UGGUAGGAU MIRNA 3'U GUUAA         position 124 target 5' UGUAACU AGCU CCU UGGUAGGAU UUGGU UGAUGGUAUUGGU AGGU ACU UGGUAUUGUU AGU UGUGUAUUGUU UUCU UA UAUAUUUU UUCU UA UAUUCUU AGU UGGAUGGAA         position 124 target 5' UGUGAUGGUA UCUGGU CGAUUGGUA AGAUGG AGAAGG AUGAUGGAA         position 137 target 5' UGUGAUGGUUU AGU AGAUUGGU AGGUUGA AGAUUGG AGAUUGGU AGUAUUGUU AGU AGUAUUGGU AGGUUGA AGAUUG AGU AGUUGUU AGU AGUUGUU AGU AGUGUUU AGU AGUGUUU AGU AGUUGUU AGU AGAUUGGAA UUCUGU UAUUUGU AGU AGAUUGGAA UUCUCU AGUAUUCUU AGU AGAGGU UUGAAUGGU AGU AGUUGUU AGU AGAUUGGU AGU AGUUUUGA AGU AGUAUGGU AGU AGUUGUU AGU AGUUUU AGU AGUUUU AGU AGUUUU AGU AGUUGUU AGU AGUUUU AGU AGUUUU AGU AGUUGUU AGU AGUUUU AGU AGUUUU AGU AGUUUU AGUUUU AGU AGUUUU AGU AGUUUU AGU AGUUUU AGUUUU AGU AGUUUU AGU AGUUUU AGUUUU AGU AGUUUU AGU AGUUUUU AGUUUU AGUUUU AGUUUUU AGUAUUUUU AGU AGUUUUU AGUAUUUU AGUUUUU AGUAUUUUU AGU AGUAUUGGA AGUUUUU AGU AGUAUUGUU AGUAUUUU AGU AGUAUUUUU AGU AGUUUU AGU AGUA	CCUGCAC CACUUU		
miRNA 3'AU       ACAUU       C 5'         programmed cell death 4a         SD_99906_BDQW01000039.1       miR-462       miR.17.1/-/-/-/.3       miR.26a-1/-/-2/-3         programmed cell death 4a       seget5's' and construction 393       target5' U GA AU       a'' position 738         accu accu cecuauces       cos Gecucusuos       Geaucusuou       a'' position 738       miR.26a-1/-/-2/-3         miRNA 3' U GU AUA A       acu       acu       acu       acu       acu       acu         miRNA 3' U GU AUA A       acu       acu       acu       acu       acu       acu       acu         filth 3'U GU AUA A       acu       ac	GGACGUG GUGAAA		
□ programmed cell death 4a           SD_99906_BDQW01000309.1         miR-462         miR-17-1/-/-2/-3         miR-26a-1/-/-2/-3           position 91         position 91         position 593         position 758         position 124           usaget 5' U         GG avage         GG avage         GG avage         GG avage         accurate           miRNa 3'U         GU CAU UG         GG avage         GG avage         accurate         accurate           miRNa 3'U GUUAA         uss         uss         uss         miRNA 3'U CUUGAU         GG avage         accurate         accurate<	miRNA 3' AU ACAUU C 5'		
□ programmed cell death 4a           SD_99906_BDQW01000309.1         niR-462         niR-17.1/-/-2/-3         niR-26a-1/-/-2/-3           position 91 target 5' 0 UGA UGA UGA UGA UGA UGA UGA UGA UGA UGA			
SD_99906_BDQW01000009.1         miR.462         miR.17.1/-/-/-2/.3         miR.26a-1/-/-2/.3           position 91 usget5' C         na         u3' usget5' U         gostion 758 GCA AU         position 758 usget5' U         position 758 GCA AU         position 124 target5' U         position 758 GCA AU         position 124 target5' U         position 758 GCA AU         position 124 target5' U         position 234 target5' U         position 758 GCA AU         position 234 target5' U         position 758 GCA AU         position 24 target5' U         position 124 target5' U         position 24 target5' U         position 758 GCA AU         position 24 target5' U         position 24 target5' U         position 24 target5' G         position 24 target5' GUA         position 24 target5' GUA         position 24 target5' GUA         position 28 target5' GUA         position 28 target5' GUA         position 24 target5' GUA         position 28 target5' GUA         position 24 target5' GUA         gostup 4 target5' GUA <t< td=""><td>programmed cell death 4a</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	programmed cell death 4a		
SJ9990_B/DQ/W010000051 milk-40/2 milk-17-1/-/2/-3 milk-26a-1/-/2/-3 position 91 target5'0_AA US US GGCAUGGCC US US GGCAUGGCCCAUUGAA US TARGET5'U GCA AU S TARGET5'U S T	CD 00006 BDOW01000200 1		
position 91         position 93         arget 5' C         GCA         A         position 1744         target 5' C         GCA         A         position 1244         target 5' C         GCA         A         current of accurate         GCUULC (C         Current of accurate         GCA         A         position 1244         target 5' C         GUAGA         A         GUAGA         A         GCA         A         Current of accurate         GCUULC (C         Current of accurate         GCA         A	SD_99900_BDQW01000309.1 miR-462	miR-17-1/-/-2/-3	miR-26a-1/-/-2/-3
Itarget 5' C         AA         US         Larget 5' C         GU         CCA         U         A3'         Imaget 5' U         GCA         AU         AS'         Imaget 5' U         AGU         AGU         CUU         CUU         CUU         CUU         A''         Imaget 5' U         GCA         AGU         AGU         CUU	position 91 position 593	position 758	porition 1244
USA         LAGUAC         CGAU         CGAU         CGAU         CUUGAA         LAGUAUC         CGAU         CUUGAA         LUGACUU         LUGAUUU         LUGAUUU <thlu< th=""></thlu<>	target 5' C AA U 3' target 5' U GU CCA U	A 3' target 5' U GCA AU A 3'	target 5' U AGUUG CCUUUC C 3'
miRNA 3' U G QU AA A       US'       miRNA 3' U G QU AUA       S'       miRNA 3' U G QU AUA       A GA A       A       A GA A       A       A GA A       A       A GA A       A	ACU ACU CCGUAUCG GAC A C CCAAGGCAAU	UAGG AGU ACAUUGG	AGUCAUC GGAU ACUUGAA
5'	miRNA 3' U GUUAA A U 5' miRNA 3' UC GU AUA	5' GUCC UCA UGUGACC	UCGGUAG CCUA UGAACUU
Kruppel like factor 6         SD_73346_BDQW01000036.1         miR-21.1/miR21.2           position 224         position 415         position 28         position 537           target 55         LACAMAUC         G 33* target 55         Luccaulguu G U U3* target 56         Luccaulguu G U U3* target 56           utucucc         ULX ALGORGA         LUC AGACUG GAULOGUA         GGCULUG GAUCGUG CUUGCAUGUUG G U U3* target 56         Luccaulguu G U U3* target 56         Luccaulguu G U U3* target 56           utucucc         ULX GAGACGU CUCLAGAUGUUCU G U UACUMU G U UA G UU         GGCULUG GAUCGUGUU         GGU UG GUCGAUCUUGGAU         GGU UG GUCGAUCUUGGAU           miRNA 3'U AJA G S' miRNA 3' UG A G S' miRNA 3' UG A G S' miRNA 3' UG A G U U S' miRNA 3' UG A U U         S' miRNA 3' UG A U U         S' miRNA 3' UG A U U         S' miRNA 3' UG A U	5' 3'I ITE		1111RNA 3 A GA A 3
☐ Kruppel like factor 6         SD_73346_BDQW01000036.1         miR-21.1/miR21-2           position 724         position 415         position 528         position 528           target 5° C         ACAMARUC 6         A1' target 5° GU GUMAGCA 6 CUGUGAUCIUCI G'' target 5° GUCGAUCULU G'' GUCGAUCULU G'' GUCGAUCULU G'' GUCGAUCULU G'' GUCGAUCULU G''' GUCGAUCULU G''' GUCGAUCULU G''' GUCGAUCULU G'''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''	55 016		5
Chromoport Inter factors of miR-202         min-216b         SD_73346_BDQW010000036.1         miR-21-1/mitR21-2           position 214         position 415         position 528         position 537           target 5' C         ACAAAAUC         G 43'         target 5' G 40GGAA         target 5' G 40GGAA           uUCUCUC         uscalue         G 5'         GGGCUGG         GCUGGACCUCUCUCCU         G U G UCGACU 40GGU           miRNA 3'U         AUA         G 5'         miRNA 3' U G A         G 4'         u           5'	D. Kummed Was forsternet		
milk-2102         milk-21 (b)         SD_73346_BDQW01000036.1         milk-21.1/milk21.2           position 224         position 415         position 528         position 537           stept5'C         ACAAAUC G A3'         target5'G UGCAAUGUCU G 3'         target5'G UGCCAUGUCU G UGCAAUGUCU G 3'         target5'G UGCCAUGUCU G GUUGGACGAAUGGG GUUGGAUGUCU G GUUGGACGAUGGG GUUGGAUGUCU G GUUGGACGAUGGG GUUGGAUGUCU G GUUGGACGAUGGG GUUGGACGAUGGG GUUGGACGAUGUCU G GU UG GUUGGACGAUGGG GUUGGACGAUGGG GUUGGACUUUCGA           uucuucu UAC UULCUU AG UGC VGCAGAUUCU AGGG UUCUUAU         G'         milkNa 3' UG A G S'         milkNa 3' G A G S'         milkNa 3' UG A U U         UGCAU         G'         milkNa 3' UG A U         G'         MilkNa 3' U	Kruppel like factor 6		
position 224 position 415 position 232 position 527 target 57 c ACAAAAUC G A' target 56 UG GUAAGCA G CUGUGAAUGUCU G'' target 56 UACCAAUGUU G U U'' target 57 AUGUU UG A A U GGGCUGG GCUUGGAC GAAUGGG CCA GC UGUGGAUGUUCU G GU UGGAC GAUGGGG CCA UGGCUGAUGGGU UUCUCC UAC UAUCCUU AG UGUUGCA CACAUUU UUCUCCU UAC UAUCCUU AG U CUGUCCA CACAUUU miliNA 3'U A G S miliNA 3' UG A G S' miliNA 3' UG A G S' 5'	miR-202 mir-216b	SD_73346_BDQW01000036.1	miR-21-1/miR21-2
target 5'C ACAAAAUC G A.3' target 5'G UG GUAACG G CUDUGAAUGUCU G3' target 5'G UACCAAUGUU G U U3' target 5'A AUGUU UG A A U GAGAGG AUJGAUGGAA ULCAG UGUUGCA GAGAUUG GGGCUGG GCUUGGACGAUGGG CCA CG UGCCUG AUGGUU UUCUCC UAC CUAUCCU AG UC ACGAGCU CUCUAAU CUCGGUC CGA CGCUGCUCCUCCU GGU G GU G	position 224 position 416	position 528	position 537
GRAGAG         AUGA AUGGSA         UC AG         UGUIGAL         GAGAUGA         GCUIGGAC         GCUIGGAC         GAUGGGU         GCAUGGU         GGUIGAC         GUIGAC         GGUIGAC	target 5'C ACAAAAUC G A3' target 5'G UG GUAAGCA G CUGUGAAUGUCU G3'	target 5' G UACCAAUGUU G U	U3' target 5' A AUGUU UG A A U3'
UUCUCC UAC UAUCCUU AG UC ACGACGU CUCUAAU CUCGGUC CGAGCCUGCCU GGU UG GUCGAC UAUUCGA milina 3'U aua g s' milina 3' ug a s' milina 3' ug a u s'3'UTR3'LTR	GAGAGG AUG AUG GGAA UC AG UGU UGCA GAGAUUG	GGGCUGG GCU UGGAC GAAUG	GG CCA GC UGG CUG AUGGGUU
miRNA 3'U AUA G 5' miRNA 3' UGA G 5' miRNA 3'G U U 5' miRNA 3' UG A U 5'	UUCUCC UAC UAUCCUU AG UC ACG ACGU CUCUAAU	CUCGGUC CGA GCCUG CUUGC	CU GGU UG GUCGAC UAUUCGA
5′3′ UTR	miRNA 3' U AUA G 5' miRNA 3' UG A G 5'	miRNA 3'G U U	5' miRNA 3' UG A U 5'
,	5' 2'11	тр	
	,3.0	I IV	

Fig. 7. miRNA-mRNA hybrids of differentially expressed miRNAs targeting potential molecular marker genes in apoptosis during follicular atresia identified by Gonzales-Kother et al., 2020. The 3' untranslated regions (UTRs) of the genes are depicted schematically, from the 5' to the 3' end. The specific binding sites are positioned along the 3' UTRs.

#### Author contributions

MP, CCM, ES. conceived of the original idea for the manuscript. MP and ES. conceived of the approach to analyses and final framing of the ideas presented in the manuscript. MP, CCM, and ES edited the final manuscript.

#### Funding

This research was partly funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under grant agreement No 727315 (MedAID). Call: SFS-23-2016 improving the technical performance of the Mediterranean aquaculture.

#### CRediT authorship contribution statement

Maria Papadaki: Writing – original draft, Resources, Methodology, Data curation, Conceptualization. C.C. Mylonas: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Validation, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization. Elena Sarropoulou: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Visualization, Supervision, Resources, Software, Validation, Project administration, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization.

#### Data availability

All reads generated in the present study from Illumina sequencing were submitted to the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) of NCBI under the BioProject accession number PRJNA998261.

#### Acknowledgments

Authors would like to acknowledge Aldo Corriero, Rosa Zupa and Valentino Pousis for providing us with the samples of Vg ovaries as well as Elisavet Kaitetzidou for valuable help in the laboratory, the Genomics Facility of the Institute of Molecular Biology & Biotechnology, Forth, Crete, Greece. and the IMBBC IT team and the computational resources and to perform this research which were provided by IMBBC (Institute of Marine Biology, Biotechnology, and Aquaculture of the HCMR (Hellenic Centre for Marine Research). Funding for establishing the IMBBC HPC has been received by the MARBIGEN (EU Regpot) project, LifeWatch Greece RI, and the CMBR (Centre for the Study and Sustainable Exploitation of Marine Biological Resources) RI.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2024.114581.

#### References

- Ambros, V., 2004. The functions of animal microRNAs. Nature 31, 350-355.
- Anonymous, 1998. Guidelines for the treatment of animals in behavioural research and teaching. Anim. Behav. 55, 251–257.
- Aranyakanont, C., Ijiri, S., Hasegawa, Y., Adachi, S., 2020. 17beta-Hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 12 is responsible for maturation-inducing steroid synthesis during oocyte maturation in Nile tilapia. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 290, 113399.
- Bartel, D.P., 2004. MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. Cell 116, 281–297.
- Bennett, H.S., Wyrick, A.D., Lee, S.W., McNeil, J.H., 1976. Science and art in preparing tissues embedded in plastic for light microscopy, with special reference to glycol methacrylate, glass knives and simple stains. Stain Technol. 51 (2), 71–97.
- Bhat, R.A., Priyam, M., Foysal, M.J., Gupta, S.K., Sundaray, J.K., 2020. Role of sex-biased miRNAs in teleosts – A review. Rev. Aquac. 13 (1), 269–281.
- Bizuayehu, T.T., Babiak, I., 2014. MicroRNA in teleost fish. Genome Biol. Evol. 6 (8), 1911–1937.
- Bizuayehu, T.T., Johansen, S.D., Puvanendran, V., Toften, H., Babiak, I., 2015. Temperature during early development has long-term effects on microRNA expression in Atlantic cod. BMC Genomics 16, 305.
- Bogoch, Y., Jamieson-Lucy, A., Vejnar, C.E., Levy, K., Giraldez, A.J., Mullins, M.C., Elkouby, Y.M., 2022. Stage specific transcriptomic analysis and database for zebrafish oogenesis. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 10, 826892.
- Bouchareb, A., Le Cam, A., Montfort, J., Gay, S., Nguyen, T., Bobe, J., Thermes, V., 2017. Genome-wide identification of novel ovarian-predominant miRNAs: new insights from the Medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). Sci. Rep. 7, 40241.
- Bovolenta, L.A., Pinhal, D., Acencio, M.L., de Oliveira, A.C., Moxon, S., Martins, C., Lemke, N., 2020. miRTil: An extensive repository for Nile tilapia microRNA next generation sequencing data. Cells 9, 1752.
- Cao, R., Wu, W., Zhou, X., Liu, K., Li, B., Huang, X., Zhang, Y., Liu, H., 2015. Let-7g induces granulosa cell apoptosis by targeting MAP3K1 in the porcine ovary. Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol. 68, 148–157.
- Cardona, E., Guyomar, C., Desvignes, T., Montfort, J., Guendouz, S., Postlethwait, J.H., Skiba-Cassy, S., Bobe, J., 2021. Circulating miRNA repertoire as a biomarker of metabolic and reproductive states in rainbow trout. BMC Biol. 19 (1), 235.
- Carletti, M.Z., Fiedler, S.D., Christenson, L.K., 2010. MicroRNA 21 blocks apoptosis in mouse periovulatory granulosa cells. Biol. Reprod. 83 (2), 286–295.
- Dechaud, C., Miyake, S., Martinez-Bengochea, A., Schartl, M., Volff, J.N., Naville, M., 2021. Clustering of sex-biased genes and transposable elements in the genome of the Medaka fish Oryzias latipes. Genome Biol. Evol. 13 (11).

#### M. Papadaki et al.

Deng, Q., Zhao, N., Ru, X., Hao, R., Zhang, B., Zhu, C., 2023. Sex-inclined piwiinteracting RNAs in serum exosomes for sex determination in the greater amberjack (*Seriola dumerili*). Int. J. Mol. Sci. 24 (4).

- Desvignes, T., Sydes, J., Montfort, J., Bobe, J., Postlethwait, J.H., 2021. Evolution after whole-genome duplication: teleost MicroRNAs. Mol. Biol. Evol. 38 (8), 3308–3331. Dexheimer, P.J., Cochella, L., 2020. MicroRNAs: from mechanism to organism. Front.
- Cell Dev. Biol. 8, 409. Diener, C., Hart, M., Fecher-Trost, C., Knittel, J., Rheinheimer, S., Meyer, M.R., Mayer, J.,
- Flockerzi, V., Keller, A., Messe, E., 2023. Outside the limit: questioning the distance restrictions for cooperative miRNA binding sites. Cell. Mol. Biol. Lett. 28 (1), 8.
- Eu, 2010. Directive 2010/63/EU of the European parliament and the council of 22 September 2010 on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes. Off. J. Eur. Union L 276, 33–79.
- Fabra, M., Cerda, J., 2004. Ovarian cysteine proteinases in the teleost *Fundulus heteroclitus*: molecular cloning and gene expression during vitellogenesis and oocyte maturation. Mol. Reprod. Dev 67 (3), 282–294.
- Fakriadis, I., Lisi, F., Sigelaki, I., Papadaki, M., Mylonas, C.C., 2019. Spawning kinetics and egg/larval quality of greater amberjack (*Seriola dumerili*) in response to multiple GnRHa injections or implants. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 279, 78–87.
- Fakriadis, I., Miccoli, A., Karapanagiotis, S., Tsele, N., Mylonas, C.C., 2020a. Optimization of a GnRHa treatment for spawning commercially reared greater amberjack Seriola dumerili: Dose response and extent of the reproductive season. Aquaculture 521.
- Fakriadis, I., Sigelaki, I., Papadaki, M., Papandroulakis, N., Raftopoulos, A., Tsakoniti, K., Mylonas, C.C., 2020b. Control of reproduction of greater amberjack Seriola dumerili reared in aquaculture facilities. Aquaculture 519.
- Flynt, A.S., Thatcher, E.J., Burkewitz, K., Li, N., Liu, Y., Patton, J.G., 2009. miR-8 microRNAs regulate the response to osmotic stress in zebrafish embryos. J. Cell Biol. 185 (1), 115–127.
- Gao, D., Huang, J., Lin, G., Lu, J., 2022. A time-course transcriptome analysis of gonads from yellow catfish (*Pelteobagrus fulvidraco*) reveals genes associated with gonad development. BMC Genomics 23 (Suppl 1), 409.
- Gay, S., Bugeon, J., Bouchareb, A., Henry, L., Delahaye, C., Legeai, F., Montfort, J., Le Cam, A., Siegel, A., Bobe, J., Thermes, V., 2018. MiR-202 controls female fecundity by regulating medaka oogenesis. PLoS Genet. 14 (9), e1007593.
- Ge, C., Lu, W., Chen, A., 2017. Quantitative proteomic reveals the dynamic of protein profile during final oocyte maturation in zebrafish. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 490 (3), 657–663.
- Goodale, B.C., Hampton, T.H., Ford, E.N., Jackson, C.E., Shaw, J.R., Stanton, B.A., King, B.L., 2019. Profiling microRNA expression in Atlantic killifish (*Fundulus heteroclitus*) gill and responses to arsenic and hyperosmotic stress. Aquat. Toxicol. 206, 142–153.
- Griffiths-Jones, S., Saini, H.K., van Dongen, S., Enright, A.J., 2008. miRBase: tools for microRNA genomics. Nucleic Acids Res. 36 (Database issue), D154–D158.
- Gu, Y., Zhang, L., Chen, X., 2014. Differential expression analysis of *Paralichthys olivaceus* microRNAs in adult ovary and testis by deep sequencing. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 204, 181–184.
- Guzman, J.M., Luckenbach, J.A., Yamamoto, Y., Swanson, P., 2014. Expression profiles of Fsh-regulated ovarian genes during oogenesis in coho salmon. PLoS ONE 9 (12), e114176.
- Herkenhoff, M.E., Oliveira, A.C., Nachtigall, P.G., Costa, J.M., Campos, V.F., Hilsdorf, A. W.S., Pinhal, D., 2018. Fishing into the MicroRNA transcriptome. Front. Genet. 9, 88.
- Houwing, S., Kamminga, L.M., Berezikov, E., Cronembold, D., Girard, A., van den Elst, H., Filippov, D.V., Blaser, H., Raz, E., Moens, C.B., Plasterk, R.H., Hannon, G.J., Draper, B.W., Ketting, R.F., 2007. A role for Piwi and piRNAs in germ cell maintenance and transposon silencing in Zebrafish. Cell 129 (1), 69–82.
- Jerez, S., Fakriadis, I., Papadaki, M., Martín, M., Cejas, J., Mylonas, C., 2018. Spawning induction of first-generation (F1) greater amberjack Seriola dumerili in the Canary Islands, Spain using GnRHa delivery systems. Fishes 3 (3).
- Jing, J., Wu, J., Liu, W., Xiong, S., Ma, W., Zhang, J., Wang, W., Gui, J.F., Mei, J., 2014. Sex-biased miRNAs in gonad and their potential roles for testis development in yellow catfish. PLoS One 9 (9), e107946.
- Juanchich, A., Le Cam, A., Montfort, J., Guiguen, Y., Bobe, J., 2013. Identification of differentially expressed miRNAs and their potential targets during fish ovarian development. Biol. Reprod. 88 (5), 128.
- Kruger, J., Rehmsmeier, M., 2006. RNAhybrid: microRNA target prediction easy, fast and flexible. Nucleic Acids Res. 34 (Web Server issue), W451–W454.
- Lan, T., Chen, Y.L., Gul, Y., Zhao, B.W., Gao, Z.X., 2019. Comparative expression analysis of let-7 microRNAs during ovary development in *Megalobrama amblycephala*. Fish Physiol. Biochem. 45 (3), 1101–1115.
- Li, J., Ge, W., 2020. Zebrafish as a model for studying ovarian development: Recent advances from targeted gene knockout studies. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 507, 110778.
- Liu, J., Du, X., Zhou, J., Pan, Z., Liu, H., Li, Q., 2014. MicroRNA-26b functions as a proapoptotic factor in porcine follicular Granulosa cells by targeting Sma-and Madrelated protein 4. Biol. Reprod. 91 (6), 146.
- Liu, Y., Kossack, M.E., McFaul, M.E., Christensen, L.N., Siebert, S., Wyatt, S.R., Kamei, C. N., Horst, S., Arroyo, N., Drummond, I.A., Juliano, C.E., Draper, B.W., 2022. Singlecell transcriptome reveals insights into the development and function of the zebrafish ovary. Elife 11.
- Liu, N., Okamura, K., Tyler, D.M., Phillips, M.D., Chung, W.J., Lai, E.C., 2008. The evolution and functional diversification of animal microRNA genes. Cell Res. 18 (10), 985–996.
- Luckenbach, J.A., Iliev, D.B., Goetz, F.W., Swanson, P., 2008. Identification of differentially expressed ovarian genes during primary and early secondary oocyte growth in coho salmon, *Oncorhynchus Kisutch*. Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol. 6, 2.

#### General and Comparative Endocrinology 357 (2024) 114581

- Ma, H., Hostuttler, M., Wei, H., Rexroad 3rd, C.E., Yao, J., 2012. Characterization of the rainbow trout egg microRNA transcriptome. PLoS ONE 7 (6), e39649.
- McDowell, E.M., Trump, B.F., 1976. Histologic fixatives suitable for diagnostic light and electron microscopy. Arch. Pathol. Lab. Med. 100, 405–414.
- Mylonas, C.C., Zohar, Y., 2001. Use of GnRHa-delivery systems for the control of reproduction in fish. Rev. Fish Biol. Fish. 10, 463–491.
- Nyuji, M., Hongo, Y., Yoneda, M., Nakamura, M., 2020. Transcriptome characterization of BPG axis and expression profiles of ovarian steroidogenesis-related genes in the Japanese sardine. BMC Genomics 21 (1), 668.
- Nzioka, A., Valencia, A., Atxaerandio-Landa, A., Diaz de Cerio, O., Hossain, M.A., Korta, M., Ortiz-Zarragoitia, M., Cancio, I., 2023. Apoptosis and autophagy-related gene transcription during ovarian follicular atresia in European hake (*Merluccius merluccius*). Mar. Environ. Res. 183, 105846.
- Papadaki, M., Kaitetzidou, E., Mylonas, C.C., Sarropoulou, E., 2020. Non-coding RNA expression patterns of two different teleost gonad maturation stages. Mar. Biotechnol. (N.Y.) 22 (5), 683–695.
- Papadaki, M., Mandalakis, M., Anastasiou, T.I., Pouli, M., Asderis, M., Katharios, P., Papandroulakis, N., Mylonas, C.C., 2021. Histological evaluation of sex differentiation and early sex identification in hatchery-produced greater amberjack (*Seriola dumerili*) reared in sea cages. Fish Physiol. Biochem. 47 (6), 1777–1792.
- Parajes, S., Griffin, A., Taylor, A.E., Rose, I.T., Miguel-Escalada, I., Hadzhiev, Y., Arlt, W., Shackleton, C., Muller, F., Krone, N., 2013. Redefining the initiation and maintenance of zebrafish interrenal steroidogenesis by characterizing the key enzyme cyp11a2. Endocrinology 154 (8), 2702–2711.
- Presslauer, C., Tilahun Bizuayehu, T., Kopp, M., Fernandes, J.M., Babiak, I., 2017. Dynamics of miRNA transcriptome during gonadal development of zebrafish. Sci. Rep. 7, 43850.
- Qu, J., Li, R., Xie, Y., Liu, Y., Liu, J., Zhang, Q., 2022. Differential transcriptomic profiling provides new insights into oocyte development and lipid droplet formation in Japanese flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*). Aquaculture 550.
- Rasal, K.D., Nandanpawar, P.C., Swain, P., Badhe, M.R., Sundaray, J.K., Jayasankar, P., 2016. MicroRNA in aquaculture fishes: a way forward with high-throughput sequencing and a computational approach. Rev. Fish Biol. Fish. 26 (2), 199–212.
- Sarropoulou, E., Fernandez, I., 2023. Epigenetic regulation of gene expression by noncoding RNAs. In: Epigenetics in Aquaculture. Wiley, pp. 65–85.
- Sun, Z., Evans, J., Bhagwate, A., Middha, S., Bockol, M., Yan, H., Kocher, J., 2014. CAPmiRSeq: a comprehensive analysis pipeline for microRNA sequencing data. BMC Genomics 15, 423.
- Takacs, C.M., Giraldez, A.J., 2010. MicroRNAs as genetic sculptors: fishing for clues. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 21 (7), 760–767.
- Tang, R., Xu, C., Zhu, Y., Yan, J., Yao, Z., Zhou, W., Gui, L., Li, M., 2022. Identification and expression analysis of sex biased miRNAs in chinese hook snout carp *Opsariichthys bidens*. Front. Genet. 13, 990683.
- Tao, W., Sun, L., Shi, H., Cheng, Y., Jiang, D., Fu, B., Conte, M.A., Gammerdinger, W.J., Kocher, T.D., Wang, D., 2016. Integrated analysis of miRNA and mRNA expression profiles in tilapia gonads at an early stage of sex differentiation. BMC Genomics 17, 328.
- Varet, H., Brillet-Guéguen, L., Coppée, J.-Y., Dillies, M.-A., 2016. SARTools: A DESeq2and EdgeR-Based R Pipeline for Comprehensive Differential Analysis of RNA-Seq Data. PLoS One 11, e0157022.
- Wang, F., Jia, Y., Wang, P., Yang, Q., Du, Q., Chang, Z., 2017. Identification and profiling of *Cyprinus carpio* microRNAs during ovary differentiation by deep sequencing. BMC Genomics 18 (1), 333.
- Wang, Y., Ye, D., Zhang, F., Zhang, R., Zhu, J., Wang, H., He, M., Sun, Y., 2022. Cyp11a2 is essential for oocyte development and spermatogonial stem cell differentiation in zebrafish. Endocrinology 163 (2).
- Wei, W., He, J., Yaqoob, M.A., Gui, L., Ren, J., Li, J., Li, M., 2022. Integrated mRNA and miRNA expression profile analysis of female and male gonads in *Acrossocheilus fasciatus*. Biology (Basel) 11 (9).
- Wongwarangkana, C., Fujimori, K.E., Akiba, M., Kinoshita, S., Teruya, M., Nezuo, M., Masatoshi, T., Watabe, S., Asakawa, S., 2015. Deep sequencing, profiling and detailed annotation of microRNAs in *Takifugu rubripes*. BMC Genomics 16 (1), 457.
- Wu, T., Cheng, Y., Liu, Z., Tao, W., Zheng, S., Wang, D., 2018. Bioinformatic analyses of zona pellucida genes in vertebrates and their expression in Nile tilapia. Fish Physiol. Biochem. 44 (2), 435–449.
- Xiao, J., Zhong, H., Zhou, Y., Yu, F., Gao, Y., Luo, Y., Tang, Z., Guo, Z., Guo, E., Gan, X., Zhang, M., Zhang, Y., 2014. Identification and characterization of microRNAs in ovary and testis of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) by using solexa sequencing technology. PLoS ONE 9 (1), e86821.
- Yamamoto, Y., Luckenbach, J.A., Young, G., Swanson, P., 2016. Alterations in gene expression during fasting-induced atresia of early secondary ovarian follicles of coho salmon, *Oncorhynchus kisutch*. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr. Physiol. 201, 1–11.
- Yan, H., Liu, Q., Jiang, J., Shen, X., Zhang, L., Yuan, Z., Wu, Y., Liu, Y., 2021. Identification of sex differentiation-related microRNA and long non-coding RNA in *Takifugu rubripes* gonads. Sci. Rep. 11 (1), 7459.
- Yan, B., Zhao, L.H., Guo, J.T., Zhao, J.L., 2012. miR-429 regulation of osmotic stress transcription factor 1 (OSTF1) in tilapia during osmotic stress. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 426 (3), 294–298.
- Yang, Y., Wang, G., Li, Y., Hu, J., Wang, Y., Tao, Z., 2022. Oocytes skipped spawning through atresia is regulated by somatic cells revealed by transcriptome analysis in *Pampus argenteus*. Front. Mar. Sci. 9.
- Yaron, Z., Levavi-Sivan, B., 2011. Hormonal control of reproduction and growth. In: Endocrine Regulation of Fish Reproduction, Encyclopedia of Fish Physiology, pp. 1500–1508.

#### M. Papadaki et al.

#### General and Comparative Endocrinology 357 (2024) 114581

- Yokokawa, R., Watanabe, K., Kanda, S., Nishino, Y., Yasumasu, S., Sano, K., 2023. Egg envelope formation of medaka Oryzias latipes requires ZP proteins originating from both the liver and ovary. J. Biol. Chem. 299 (4), 104600.
- Zayed, Y., Qi, X., Peng, C., 2019. Identification of novel MicroRNAs and characterization of MicroRNA expression profiles in zebrafish ovarian follicular cells. Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne) 10, 518.
- Zupa, R., Rodriguez, C., Mylonas, C.C., Rosenfeld, H., Fakriadis, I., Papadaki, M., Perez, J.A., Pousis, C., Basilone, G., Corriero, A., 2017. Comparative study of reproductive development in wild and captive-reared Greater Amberjack Seriola dumerili (Risso, 1810). PLoS ONE 12 (1), e0169645.